



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI
PRINCIPAL BENCH**

Hearing Through : VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

Cause List No. 1

DAY : FRIDAY

DATE: 15.12.2023

TIME: 10:30 AM

1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : **25179097308**
2. **VC Link <https://ncltdelhi.webex.com/meet/courtofficerpb-nd>**
3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.
4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.
5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in), otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.
6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.
7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.
8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.
9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.
10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.
11. Parties/Counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.
12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.

PRESENT : JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR, HON'BLE PRESIDENT

S. No.	CP. No.	IA/CA No.	Purpose	Section	Name of Parties	Name of Counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of Counsel for Respondent	Name of IRP/RP / Liquidator/ MP	Remarks
FOR PRONOUNCEMENT OF ORDER									
201	TA (Co. Act)-04(PB)/2023		For Order	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Manimegalai Unnikrishnan Vs. JSM Aggregates and Sands Private Limited	Rishabh Tripathi			
ORDINARY LIST :									
1	TA (IBC)-39(PB)/2022		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	De Souza Leisures Private Limited Vs. Mypreferred Transformation And Hospitality Private Limited	Raghav Sharma			
2	TA (Co. Act)-40(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Ishwar Industries Ltd Vs. Madhu Bhaskar	Sarwar Raza			
3	TA (Co. Act)-45(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Ajay Kishore Merchant & Ors. Vs. Damos Trading Company Private Limited	Arpan Behl			
4	TA (IBC)-27(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Raj Rani Gupta Vs. Mothers Pride Education Personna Pvt. Ltd.	Mohit Chaudhary			
5	TA (Co. Act)-48(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Pukhraj Singh Chug Vs. Anoop Sharma	Prashant Pakhiddey			
6	TA (IBC)-55(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Future Corporate Resources Private Limited Vs. Central Bank of India	Pallavi Pratap			
7	TA (IBC)-56(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Ojas Tradelease and Mall Management Private Limited Vs. Central Bank of India	Pallavi Pratap			
8	TA (IBC)-57(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Future corporate resources private limited Vs. Central Bank of India	Pallavi Pratap			
9	TA (IBC)-58(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Central Departmental Stores Private Limited Vs. Central Bank of India	Pallavi Pratap			
10	TA (Co. Act)-39(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Dr. Yadagiri R. Pendri & Anr. Vs. Escientia Biopharma Private Limited & Others	Shree Sinha			
11	TA (Co. Act)-06(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Gurmeet Singh Chugh Vs. V N Electronics Private Limited	Rakhi Ray			

12	TA (IBC)-45(PB)/2022		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	State Bank of India Vs. Shakuntala Devi	Sanjeev Kapoor			
13	TA (IBC)-49(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	A-One Realtors Pvt Ltd Vs. Energy Efficiency Services Limited	Mohit Chaudhary			
14	TA (IBC)-21(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Spaze Towers Private Limited Vs. Vivek Khanna & Ors.	Sumesh Dhawan			
15	TA (Co. Act)-35(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Bhushan Information Technologies Private Limited Vs. BSN Enterprises Private Limited	Nalini			
16	TA (IBC)-54(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Bank of India Limited Vs. JTPL Private Limited	Mital and Mital advocates			
17	TA (Co. Act)-50(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Innovative Textiles Limited Vs.	Lexster Law LLP			
SUPPLEMENTARY LIST :									
18	TA (IBC)-61(PB)/2023		New Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	VA Realcon Private Limited Vs. Avail Holding Limited	Mohd Nazim Khan			
19	TA (IBC)-62(PB)/2023		New Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Damodar Das Singhee Vs. HDFC Bank Limited	Shantanu Ghosh			
20	TA (IBC)-63(PB)/2023		New Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Ram Kumar Singhee Vs. HDFC Bank Limited	Shantanu Ghosh			
21	TA (Co. Act)-38(PB)/2023		TA Dismissed as withdrawn dated 01.12.2023	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Unique Estates Development Company Limited Vs. Satwant Singh and Ors	N/A			
		New CA-423/2023	For withdrawal of Present TA	Rule 11 of NCLT	Unique Estates Development Company Limited Vs. Satwant Singh and Ors	Randeep Sachdeva			

Note: Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List the possibility of an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and regretted, if any.

Copy to:-

- 1 Notice Board.
- 2 Registrar, NCLT
- 3 Secretary, NCLT
- 4 NCLT Website (www.nclt.gov.in).



Nirmala Vincent
Assistant Registrar (In- Charge)



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI
PRINCIPAL BENCH**

Hearing Through : VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

Cause List No. 2

DAY : FRIDAY

DATE: 15.12.2023

TIME: After Completion of Cause No. 1

1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : **25179097308**
2. **VC Link <https://ncltdelhi.webex.com/meet/courtofficerpb-nd>**
3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.
4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.
5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in), otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.
6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.
7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.
8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.
9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.
10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.
11. Parties/Counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.
12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.

CORAM: 1. JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR, HON'BLE PRESIDENT									
2. SH. AVINASH K. SRIVASTAVA, HON'BLE MEMBER (T)									
FOR PRONOUNCEMENT OF ORDER									
101	71/241-242/PB/2020	Main Case	CP Allowed dated 01.04.2022	241-242	Union of India, MCA Vs. Delhi Gymkhana Club	N/A			
		CA-440/2022	For Order	Sect 419 (3)	Union of India, MCA Vs. Delhi Gymkhana Club	Deepak Khosla			
ADMISSION MATTERS :									
102	(IBPP)-01(PB)/2023	Main Case	For Further Consideration	U/s. 54C (1) of (IBC) (Pre-Packged)	RG Residency Pvt Ltd	Saurabh Jain			
103	(IBPP)-02(PB)/2023	Main Case	For Further Consideration	U/s. 54C (1) of (IBC) (Pre-Packged)	KVIR Towers Private Limited	Saurabh Jain			

Note: Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List the possibility of an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and regretted, if any.

Copy to:-

- 1 Notice Board.
- 2 Registrar, NCLT
- 3 Secretary, NCLT
- 4 NCLT Website (www.nclt.gov.in).



Nirmala Vincent
Assistant Registrar (In- Charge)



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CHENNAI
SPECIAL BENCH (Court I)**

Cause list - III

Hearing Through: VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : 2517 371 8726 (ID of respective Bench)</p> <p>2. VC Link https://ncltchennai.webex.com/meet/chennainclt1</p> <p>3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.</p> <p>4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and <u>not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.</u></p> <p>5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in) , otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.</p> <p>6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.</p> <p>7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.</p> <p>8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.</p> <p>9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.</p> <p>10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.</p> <p>11. Parties/ counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.</p> <p>12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.</p> | <p>DAY : FRIDAY</p> <p>DATE: 15.12.2023</p> <p>TIME: After Completion of Cause list - II</p> |
|---|---|

CORAM: JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR, HON'BLE PRESIDENT
HON'BLE SHRI. VENKATARAMAN SUBRAMANIAM, MEMBER (TECHNICAL)

S No	CP/CA No	IA/MA No	Section	Name of Parties	Name of counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of counsel for Respondent	Name of IRP/ RP/ (Liquidator)	Remarks
THE FOLLOWING MATTERS WILL BE HEARD THROUGH - PHYSICAL HEARING								
1	CA/1/IB/2017	-	Sec 9 Rule 6 of IBC, 2016	Alcon Laboratories(India) Pvt Ltd Vs Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd			S Rajendran (RP)	Resolution Plan Approved on 03-02-2023
		IA/574(CHE)/2023 in IA/1088/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			

CA/1/IB/2017	IA/576(CHE)/2023 in IA/1012/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar		S Rajendran (RP)	
	IA/607(CHE)/2023 in IA/997/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/572(CHE)/2023 in IA/1208/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/605(CHE)/2023 in IA/1027/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/564(CHE)/2023 in IA/1303/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/577(CHE)/2023 in IA/799/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Central Board of Trustee, Employees provident Fund (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/603(CHE)/2023 in IA/1011/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Central Board of Trustee, Employees provident Fund (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/565(CHE)/2023 in IA/1214/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/606(CHE)/2023 in IA/222/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			

CA/1/IB/2017	IA/573(CHE)/2023 in IA/1024/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar		S Rajendran (RP)	
	IA/604(CHE)/2023 in IA/1028/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/1028(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/222(CHE)/2023	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1088(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/997(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC,2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Vs (RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1011(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Regional provident Fund Commissioner-I Vs (RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1012(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Vs (RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1214(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M.S Viswanathan			
	IA/1024(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1027(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1208(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viwanathan			

CA/1/TB/2017	IA/1303(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs RP of Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan		S Rajendran (RP)	
	IA/799(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Central Board of Trustees, Employees Provident Fund, Regional Provident Fund commissioner-II, Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M.S Viswanathan			
-Sd- (M Rajendran) Court Officer							
Although all efforts have made to give accurate information in the CauseList, the possibility of an inadvertent error if any, cannot be ruled out and regretted.							



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH
COURT HALL No: II**

**DAY : FRIDAY
DATE: 15.12.2023**

Cause list No.4

Special Bench

**CORAM: 1. JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR , HON'BLE PRESIDENT
2. SHRI. CHARAN SINGH, HON'BLE MEMBER TECHNICAL**

Sl. No.	CP/TP No./ CA No.	CA/IA No.	Purpose	Section / Rule	Name of Parties	Name of Counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of Counsel for Respondent	Name of (1) IRP / (2) RP / (3) Liquidator / (4) MP	Next date of hearing
Ordinary List									
1	CP No.01/2009 TP(PB) No. 79/397-398/HDB/2017		For hearing	388B, 397/398	Union of India Vs Satyam Computer Services Ltd & Others	& T Sujan Kumar Reddy, Central Govt Counsel	Amir Bavani for R2 & R3 Ashish Kabra R4 Sindhu Kumari Counsel - R6 & R7 Kaveri Srivastava – R5&R8-R10 T. Vijayakumar Reddy for R-11&R12 Naresh Kumar Sangam R13		Renotfied to 05.01.2024
2	CP No.3/2009 TP (PB) No.77/397-398/HDB/2017		For hearing	388, 397/ 398 408	Union of India Vs Maytas Infra Limited & Others	T Sujan Kumar Reddy, Central Govt Counsel	G. Bhupesh for R-1 Amir Bavani R2& R3 Sanjay Kishore R5,7&14 Y Suryanarayana for R-6 Rusheek Reddy for R8		Renotfied to 05.01.2024
3	CP No.4/2009 TP (PB) No.78/397-398/HDB/2017		For hearing	388, 397/398	Union of India Vs Maytas Properties Limited	T Sujan Kumar Reddy, Central Govt Counsel	S Nagesh Reddy & G Bhupesh for R-1 Amir Bavani R2,R3& R4 Rusheek Reddy R5		Renotfied to 05.01.2024

Note: In view of the adjournment Slip moved by Ld. Counsel Shri Srujan Kumar Reddy on behalf of Union of India , the Matters stands Adjourned to 05.01.2024

Sd/-
K B Kumar
Deputy Registrar
NCLT, Hyderabad Bench

Although efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List, possiblility of an inadverdent error, if any, cannot be ruled out and is regretted.

**In case of any query, update etc. regarding any matter the same may be communicated to registrar-hyd@nclt.gov.in
Any follow-up may be done with the Court Officer, Assistant Registrar or Deputy Registrar.**