

“GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS”

It has been observed by the Hon'ble Bench(es) that parties, instead of filing mere clarificatory Memos, are filing Memos containing averments touching upon the pleadings of the case, seeking substantive reliefs, raising questions of Law, or introducing additional facts/documents requiring replies/counters from the opposite parties. Such practice is resulting in unnecessary prolongation and delay in adjudication of matters.

It has also been observed that urgent hearing/advance hearing applications are, at times, being listed along with the concerned main Company Petition (CP) or other Interlocutory Applications (IAs), thereby defeating the very purpose of filing separate urgent hearing/advance hearing applications.

Therefore, in order to ensure procedural discipline and expeditious disposal of cases, the following directions are issued:

1. All parties/Advocates/PCs are directed not to file Memos containing pleadings, additional facts, legal submissions, documents, or prayers seeking substantive reliefs having a bearing on the merits of the matter.
2. Any plea requiring amendment/supplementation of pleadings, adjudication on questions of Law, consideration of additional facts/documents, or necessitating any reply/counter from the opposite party shall be raised only by way of an appropriate Interlocutory Application (IA), duly supported by pleadings and affidavit, wherever required under Law.
3. Memos shall be confined only to clerical, procedural, administrative, or purely clarificatory aspects which neither affect the pleadings nor require any reply/counter from the opposite party or adjudication by the Hon'ble Bench. Filing of Memos shall not confer any right upon a party to treat the contents thereof as part of the pleadings unless specifically permitted by the Hon'ble Bench.
4. Any Memo found to be in the nature of pleadings or seeking substantive relief may not be entertained/listed by the Registry and may be returned under objections, with liberty to the party concerned to file an appropriate IA in accordance with Law. The Hon'ble Bench may also pass appropriate directions in this regard.
5. It is further directed that filing of urgent hearing/advance hearing IAs shall not be accompanied by substantive IAs seeking other reliefs. Only the urgent hearing/advance hearing IA shall be listed for consideration and placed before the Hon'ble Bench. The main CP/IA or any other substantive application shall not be listed along with such urgent hearing/advance hearing IA unless specifically directed by the Hon'ble Bench.
6. Upon allowing such urgent hearing/advance hearing IA, the matter may be advanced to a nearer date, as may be directed by the Hon'ble Bench, and shall not ordinarily be taken up for final hearing on the same day.

These instructions shall come into force with immediate effect.

By Order of the Hon'ble Head of Department and Member-Judicial, National Company Law Tribunal, Hyderabad Bench.



Deputy Registrar

जी. वासुदेवा राव
G. VASUDEVA RAO
उप संजीवक / DEPUTY REGISTRAR
नेशनल कंपनी लॉ ट्रिब्यूनल
NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
हैदराबाद बेंच / HYDERABAD BENCH



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH
COURT HALL NO.II**

Hearing Through: Hybrid Mode

DAY : THURSDAY

DATE: 11.06.2026

TIME: 10:30 AM

1. VC Link : <https://hydnc.lt/webex.com/meet/bench2hyd>

2. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through VC Mode.

3. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.

4. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in) , otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.

5. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.

6. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.

7. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.

8. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.

9. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.

10. Parties/ Counsels appearing physically should make attendance in the register maintained in the court for the purpose.

CORAM : 1. SHRI RAJEEV BHARDWAJ, HON'BLE MEMBER (J)
2. SHRI SANJAY PURI, HON'BLE MEMBER (T)

S.No	CP. No.	CA/IA No.	Purpose	Section/Rule	Name of Parties	Name of Counsel for Petitioner/Applicant	Name of Counsel for Respondent	Name of (1) IRP (2) RP (3) Liquidator (4) MP	Remarks
Supplementary List									
1	Company Petition IB/96/10/2026		New Petition	U/S 10 of IBC	Reddy Automotive Pvt Ltd	V. Raghunath A. Sandhya Rani			
2	CP (IB) No.260/7/HDB/2022	Main Case	CP Admitted on 12.07.2024	7 of IBC	ICICI Bank Ltd Vs GVK Power And Infrastructure Lts	VVSN Raju		Satish Kumar Gupta	
		IA (IBC)/885/2026 (Extension of CIRP Period by additional 60 days as approved by the COC.)	New IA Admission	u/s 12 (2)	Satish Kumar Gupta RP for GVK Power & Infrastructure Ltd VS The COC	G. Vamshi Krishna			
3	Company Petition IB/24/2021	Main Case	CP Admitted on 19.12.2025	u/s 95	State Bank of India Vs Chinnarappa Rameshwara Reddy	Sarvani Desiraju	M. Vazra Laxmi	Krishna Mohan Gollamudi, RP	
		IA (IBC)/855/2026 (Concluding the PIRP of Mr. C Rameswara Reddy PG to CD has not submitted any repayment Plan in spite of repeated advice & other reliefs.)	New IA Admission	U/s 112	Krishna Mohan Gollamudi, RP for Chinnarappa Rameshwara Reddy	Krishna Mohan Gollamudi			
4	Company Petition IB/25/2021	Main Case	CP Admitted on 19.12.2025	u/s 95	State Bank of India Vs C Swamy Reddy	Sarvani Desiraju	M. Vazra Laxmi	Krishna Mohan Gollamudi, RP	
		IA (IBC)/853/2026 (Concluding the PIRP of Mr. C Swamy redy PG to CD has not submitted any repayment Plan in spite of repeated advice & other reliefs.)	New IA Admission	U/s 112	Krishna Mohan Gollamudi, RP for C Swamy Reddy	Krishna Mohan Gollamudi			
5	Company Petition IB/26/2021	Main Case	For orders	u/s 95	State Bank of India Vs Chinnarappa Hima Reddy	Sarvani Desiraju	M. Vazra Laxmi	Krishna Mohan Gollamudi, RP	
		IA (IBC)/854/2026 (Concluding the PIRP of Mr. C Hima Reddy PG to CD has not submitted any repayment Plan in spite of repeated advice & other reliefs.)	New IA Admission	U/s 112	Krishna Mohan Gollamudi, RP for Chinnarappa Hima Reddy	Krishna Mohan Gollamudi			
6	CP (IB) No.492/7/HDB/2019	Main Case	Resolution Paln Approved on 13.02.2025	7 of IBC	Power Finance Corporation Vs KSK Mahanadhi Power Company Ltd			Mr. Sumit Binani RP Mr. Allwin Godwin Adv For RP	
		IA (IBC)/889/2025	For filing written Submissions	u/s 60 (5) & r/w Rule 11	JM Financial Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Power Finance Corporation Ltd & ors	Amir Bavani	D. Narender Naik For R1,R3&R4		
		IA (IBC)/1257/2025	For filing written Submissions	u/s 60 (5) r/w Rule 11	JM Financial Asset Reconstruction Company Pvt Ltd VS Power Finance Corporation	Deepika Thakur	D. Narender Naik For R1,R3& R4		

		IA (IBC)/1614/2025	Pleadings Completed For hearing	u/s 60 (5) & rule 11	JM Financial Asset Reconstruction Company Pvt Ltd VS Power Finance Corporation	Deepika Thakur	D. Narender Naik for R1& R3		
7	Company Petition IB/16/7/2026		For filing Vakalt/counter (Filed,it 's under scurtiny)	U/S 7 of IBC	MS Sridevi Medapati Vs Apsuja Infratech Pvt Ltd	DUVVA PAVAN KUMAR			
8	Company Petition IB/156/9/2025		For filing counters	U/S 9 of IBC	Dietrich Engineering Pvt Ltd Vs Prathyak Laboratories Pvt Ltd	Kamal Agrawal			
9	Company Petition/14/2022	Main Case	For hearing	241	Dommeti Surya Prabhas Vs Sanjana Enterprises (India) Pvt Ltd	Y. Suryanarayana	Surya Tej P.N.J Res3&4		
		IA (CA)/153/2022	For hearing	u/s 242 (4) r/w rule 11	Dommeti Surya Prabhas Vs Sanjana Enterprises (India) Pvt Ltd	Shaik Gouse			
		IA (CA)/124/2023	For hearing	u/s 242	Dommeti Surya Prabhas Vs Sanjana Enterprises (India) Pvt Ltd	Mr. Shaik Gouse			
		IA (CA)/229/2023	For hearing	u/s 242 (4)	Dommeti Surya Prabhas Vs Sanjana Enterprises (India) Pvt Ltd	Shaik Gouse			
10	Company Petition/16/2022	Main Case	For hearing	241	Dommeti Surya Prabha VS Anjana Explosives Ltd	Y. Suryanarayana	Surya Tej P.N.J Res3&4 & for IA (CA)/65/2022		
		IA (CA)/65/2022	For hearing	Rule 11 of NCLT Rule 32	Rama Lakshmi Rajeswari Vadapalli VS Dommeti Surya Prabha	Surya Tej PNJ			
		IA (CA)/125/2023	For hearing	Rule 11 of NCLT	Dommeti Surya Prabha VS Anjana Explosives Ltd	Mr. Shaik Gouse			
		IA (CA)/228/2023	For hearing	u/s 242 (4)	Dommeti Surya Prabha VS Anjana Explosives Ltd	Shaik Gouse			
		IA (CA)/239/2024	For hearing	u/s 242 (2) (b) r/w 11 & 32	Anjana Explosives Ltd and another Vs Dommeti Surya Prabha and Ors	Preetham Kunapa Reddy	Y. Suryanarayana		
11	Company Petition /40/2023	Main Case	For hearing	241	Ruchi Agarwal Vs Alok Wires Pvt LTd	Rajashekar Rao Salvaji	S Arun Kumar		
12	Company Petition/30/241/2024	Main Case	For hearing	u/s 241 of Companies Act	Mr. Joesph George Vs Laxai Life Sciences Pvt Ltd	Mr. Akshay S Malviya	Samvad Partners R5&R6		
		IA (CA) No.230/2024	For hearing		Mr. Joesph George Vs Laxai Life Sciences Pvt Ltd	Mr. Akshay S Malviya			
		IA (CA) No.240/2024	For hearing	Rule 11 of NCLT	Laxai Life Sciences Pvt Ltd Vs Mr. Joesph George	Tanya Kanwar			
13	Company Petition/32/241/2024	Main Case	For hearing	u/s 241 of Companies Act	Mr. Joesph George Vs M/S OSR Ventures LLP & ors	Mr. Akshay S Malviya	Samvad Partners R1- 5		
		IA (CA) No.231/2024	For hearing		Mr. Joesph George Vs M/S OSR Ventures LLP & ors	Mr. Akshay S Malviya			

		IA (CA) No.241/2024	For hearing	Rule 11 of NCLT	M/S OSR Ventures LLP & ors VS Mr. Joesph George	Tanya Kanwar			
14	Company Petition/06/241/2024	Main Case	For filing counters	241	Krishnam Raju Kalidindi Vs Krisani Bio Sciences Pvt Ltd	Amir Bavani	D. Narendra Naik R2,R3,R4,R6,R7,R8, R10,R11,R17to R19		
		IA (CA)/176/2024	For hearing	u/s 8 r/w sec 5 of Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 1966	Mr. Mahesh Kandula Vs Krishnam Raju kalindi	D. Narendra Naik			
		IA (CA)/50/2024	For hearing	Rule 11	Krishnam Raju Kalidindi Vs Krisani Bio Sciences Pvt Ltd	Amir Bavani			
15	Company Petition IB/75/95/2024	Main Case (RP Appointed)	For filing reply (Filed)	95 of IBC	UCO Bank in the matter of ICOMM Tele Ltd Vs Mr. Ramrao Paturu	Manav Gecil Thomas	Rohan Aloor	Mrs. Mummaneni Vazra Laxmi,	
16	Company Petition IB/22/95/2026	Main Case (RP Appointed on 10.02.2026 & RP filed report on 02.03.2026)	For filing POS	U/S 95 of IBC	UCO BANK VS Shri Chalasani Ajad Kumar	Anil Mukherji P	D. KIRANMAYE R1 R.S. SRAVAN KUMAR R2	Mr. Krishna Balaji Pallapothu	
17	Company Petition IB/23/95/2026	Main Case (RP Appointed on 10.02.2026)	For filing counter/objections to RP Report	U/S 95 of IBC	SREI Equipment Fianance Limited Vs Althuru Mahesh Reddy	Dishit Bhattacharjee	K. MAMATA CHOUDARY	Mr. Rajesh Chillale	

Sd/-
Gorti Vasudeva Rao
Deputy Registrar
NCLT, Hyderabad Bench

Although efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List, possibility of an inadvertent error, if any, cannot be ruled out and is regretted.
In case of any query, update etc. regarding any matter the same may be communicated to registrar-hyd@nclt.gov.in
Any follow-up may be done with the Court Officer, Assistant Registrar or Deputy Registrar.