



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CHENNAI
SPECIAL BENCH (Court I)**

Hearing Through: VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : 2517 371 8726 (ID of respective Bench)
2. VC Link https://ncltchennai.webex.com/meet/chennainclt1
3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.
4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and <u>not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.</u>
5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in) , otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.
6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.
7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.
8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.
9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.
10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.
11. Parties/ counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.
12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders. | DAY : FRIDAY
DATE: 12.01.2024
TIME: 10.30 AM |
|---|---|

**CORAM: JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR, HON'BLE PRESIDENT
HON'BLE SHRI. SAMEER KAKAR, MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

S No	CP/CA No	IA/MA No	Section	Name of Parties	Name of counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of counsel for Respondent	Name of IRP/ RP/ (Liquidator)	Remarks
ALL THE FOLLOWING MATTERS WILL BE HEARD THROUGH - VIDEO CONFERENCING								
ORDINARY LIST								
1	IBA/471/2019	-	Sec 7 Rule 4 of IBC, 2016	Rakesh P Seth Vs Sabari Realtors Pvt Ltd				
		IA/250(CHE)/2022	Sec 30(6) of IBC, 2016	RP of Sabari Realtors Pvt Ltd	KNS Law Chambers			

		IA/252(CHE)/2022	Rule 11 of NCLT, 2016	RP of Sabari Realtors Pvt Ltd	KNS Law Chambers		Amarendran - (RP)	
		Inv.P/4(CHE)/2022 IN IA/250(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	M/s Sabari Serenitys Ekambara & Margosa Aptmt Buyers Association -Vs- RP of Sabari Realtors Pvt Ltd	B Dhanaraj	KNS Law Chambers		
		IA/840(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	M/s Sabari Serenitys Ekambara & Margosa Vs RP of M/s Sabari Relators Pvt Ltd and others	B Dhanaraj			
-Sd- (M Rajendran) Court Officer								
Although all efforts have made to give accurate information in the CauseList, the possibility of an inadvertent error if any, cannot be ruled out and regretted.								



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CHENNAI
SPECIAL BENCH (Court I)**

Hearing Through: VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : **2517 371 8726 (ID of respective Bench)**

2. VC Link <https://ncltchennai.webex.com/meet/chennainclt1>

3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.

4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.

5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in) , otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.

6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.

7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.

8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.

9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.

10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.

11. Parties/ counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.

12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.

**CORAM: JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR, HON'BLE PRESIDENT
HON'BLE SHRI. VENKATARAMAN SUBRAMANIAM, MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

S No	CP/CA No	IA/MA No	Section	Name of Parties	Name of counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of counsel for Respondent	Name of IRP/ RP/ (Liquidator)	Remarks
THE FOLLOWING MATTERS WILL BE HEARD THROUGH - PHYSICAL HEARING								
1	CA/1/IB/2017	-	Sec 9 Rule 6 of IBC, 2016	Alcon Laboratories(India) Pvt Ltd Vs Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd			S Rajendran (RP)	
		IA/574(CHE)/2023 in IA/1088/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			

IA/576(CHE)/2023 in IA/1012/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/607(CHE)/2023 in IA/997/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/572(CHE)/2023 in IA/1208/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/605(CHE)/2023 in IA/1027/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/564(CHE)/2023 in IA/1303/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/577(CHE)/2023 in IA/799/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Central Board of Trustee, Employees provident Fund (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/603(CHE)/2023 in IA/1011/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Central Board of Trustee, Employees provident Fund (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/565(CHE)/2023 in IA/1214/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/606(CHE)/2023 in IA/222/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/573(CHE)/2023 in IA/1024/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	

IA/604(CHE)/2023 in IA/1028/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/1028(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasana Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan	
IA/222(CHE)/2023	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasana Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan	
IA/1088(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs RP of M/s Vasana Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan	
IA/997(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC,2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Vs (RP) of M/s Vasana Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan	
IA/1011(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Regional provident Fund Commissioner-I Vs (RP) of M/s Vasana Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan	
IA/1012(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Vs (RP) of M/s Vasana Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan	
IA/1214(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II Vs RP of M/s Vasana Health Care Pvt Ltd	M.S Viswanathan	
IA/1024(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II Vs RP of M/s Vasana Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan	
IA/1027(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasana Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan	
IA/1208(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasana Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viwanathan	
IA/1303(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs RP of Vasana Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan	
IA/799(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Central Board of Trustees, Employees Provident Fund, Regional Provident Fund commissioner-II, Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs RP of M/s Vasana Health Care Pvt Ltd	M.S Viswanathan	

		IA/214(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd -Vs- Vasan Employees Welfare Trust & 2 Ors	Savitha Devi			
		IA/269(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5)(B) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	S. Rajendran, RP of M/s. Vasan Health Care Pvt. Ltd. Vs Vasan Doctor Welfare Trust & 2 Ors	Savitha Devi			
		IA/26(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 & 60(5) of IBC	RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd -Vs- Meera Arun & 4 Others	Savitha Devi	Manjula Devi		
		IA/215(CHE)/2022	Sec 66& 60(5) of IBC, 2016	RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd -Vs- Vasan Doctors Welfare Trust & 2 Ors	Savitha Devi			
		IA/270(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 & 60(5) of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd Vs Vasan Employees Welfare Trust & Others	Savitha Devi			
		IA/271(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 & 60(5) of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd Vs Vasan Dental Hospitals Pvt Ltd & Others	Savitha Devi			
		IA/272(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 & 60(5) of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd Vs Muthusamy Sugavana Swaminathan & Others	Savitha Devi			
	CA/1/IB/2017	IA/273(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 & 60(5) of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd Vs Meena Arun & Others	Savitha Devi		S Rajendran (RP)	
		IA/274(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 & 60(5) of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd Vs Vijaykumar Dwarakanathan & Others	Savitha Devi			
		IA/1571(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Reg 36A(7)(g) of IBBI Reg 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	RP of Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd Vs Maxivision Eye Hopitals Pvt Ltd	Savitha			
		IA(IBC)/1062(CHE)2023	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Maxivision Eye Hospitals Pvt Ltd & other	V V Sivakumar			
		IA/209(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd -Vs- Maxivision Eye Hospitals Pvt Ltd	Savitha Devi			
		IA/314(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	M/s Maxvision Eye Hospitals Pvt Ltd -Vs- RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	AK Mysamy Associates	Savitha Devi		

		IA/868(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Maxivision Eye Hospitals Pvt Ltd Vs RP of M/s Vasan Healthcare Pvt Ltd	A K Mysamy			
2	CP/563/IB/2017	IA/251(CHE)/2023	Sec 45 & 48 of IBC, 2016	Liquidator of M/s Oceanic Edibles International Ltd Vs Joseph Raj (Suspended Director) M/s Oceanic Edibles International Ltd	Ramalingam Associates		P R Raman (Liquidator)	
		IA/254(CHE)/2023	Sec 66 of IBC, 2016	Liquidator of M/s Oceanic Edibles International Ltd Vs Joseph Raj (Suspended Director) M/s Oceanic Edibles International Ltd	Ramalingam Associates			
3	CP/85(CHE)/2023	-	Sec 241, 242, 244 of CA, 2016	SheetalaCredit Holdings Pvt Ltd & 5 Others Vs Binny Mills Ltd and Others	Anant merathia			
4	CP/258/2020	-	Sec 241(1) of CA, 2013	Balasubramaniam Sriram Vs Ms Ocean Lifespaces India Pvt Ltd And 6 Others	Naveen Kumar	K Jayasudha R2,		
		New Application IA(CA)/6(CHE)2024	Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Ocean Lifespaces India Pvt Ltd Vs Balasubramaniam Sriram	K Jayasudha			
		New Application IA(CA)/7(CHE)2024	Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Ocean Lifespaces India Pvt Ltd Vs Balasubramaniam Sriram	K Jayasudha			
		IA/734/2020	Sec 241/244 of CA, 2013	Balasubramaniam Sriram VS Ocean Lifespaces India pvt Ltd & 6 others	Deepak Narayanan	K Jayasudha R2, N.P. Vijayakumar R3&R4		
		IA(CA)/181(CHE)2023	Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Anitha Bai Sulvanus King Peter Vs Balasubramaniam Sriram and Others	K Jayasudha			
5	CP/22(CHE)/2023	-	Sec 241/242 of CA 2023	A Vijayan and 7 Othes Vs Silver Line retreat Hotels Pvt Ltd and 2 Others	A M Ilango			

6	CP(CA)/3(CHE)/2023	-	Sec 241, 242 of CA, 2013 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	S Ravindhra Reddy & 6 Ors Vs Silver Line Retreat Hotels Pvt Ltd & 12 Ors	Pawan Jhabakh			
		New Application IA(CA)/184(CHE)/2023	Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	S Ravindhra Reddy & 6 Ors Vs Silver Line Retreat Hotels Pvt Ltd & 9 Ors	Pawan Jhabakh			
		IA(CA)/119(CHE)/2023	Sec 241-242 of CA, 2013 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	S Ravindhra Reddy & 6 Others Vs Silver Line Retreat Hotels Pvt Ltd & Ors	Pawan Jhabakh			
-Sd- (M Rajendran) Court Officer								
Although all efforts have made to give accurate information in the CauseList, the possibility of an inadvertent error if any, cannot be ruled out and regretted.								



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CHENNAI
DIVISION BENCH (Court I)**

Hearing Through: VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : **2517 371 8726 (ID of respective Bench)**

2. VC Link <https://ncltchennai.webex.com/meet/chennainclt1>

3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.

4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.

5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in) , otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.

6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.

7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.

8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.

9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.

10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.

11. Parties/ counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.

12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.

CORAM: HON'BLE SHRI SANJIV JAIN, MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

HON'BLE SHRI VENKATARAMAN SUBRAMANIAM, MEMBER (TECHNICAL)

S No	CP/CA No	IA/MA No	Section	Name of Parties	Name of counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of counsel for Respondent	Name of IRP/ RP/ (Liquidator)	Remarks
ALL THE FOLLOWING MATTERS WILL BE HEARD THROUGH - VIDEO CONFERENCING								
PRIORITY LIST								
101	CP(IB)/289(CHE)/2021	-	Sec 7 Rule 4 of IBC, 2016	Nippon Life India AIF Management Ltd -Vs- Arkis Atelier Design India Pvt Ltd	Jayanth	S M Sundaram		

102	CP(IB)/141(CHE)/2023	-	Sec 7 Rule 4 of IBC, 2016	Profectus Captial Pvt Ltd Vs Proscan Diagnostics Pvt Ltd	V Balasubramani			
103	CP(IB)/143(CHE)/2023	-	Sec 9 Rule 6 of IBC, 2016	Toram Exports pvt Ltd Vs APL Company Pvt Ltd	Ananda Gomathy			
ORDINARY LIST								
201	IBA/642/2019	-	Sec 9 Rule 6 of IBC, 2016	Crosby Engineering Pvt Ltd Vs Freeworld Exports Pvt Ltd			TR Ravichandran (Liquidator)	
		IA/517(CHE)/2023	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 r/w Sec 217 of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Ramadosh shankar Vs Liquidator of M/s Freeworld Exports Pvt Ltd	A G Sathyanarayana	S Sathiyarayanan		
202	IBA/920/2019	-	Sec 7 Rule 4 of IBC, 2016	State Bank of India Vs Kanishk Gold Pvt Ltd			Ebenezar Inbaraj (Liquidator)	
		IA/1369/IB/2020	Sec 25(2), 60(5) of IBC, 2016	RP of Kanishk Gold Pvt Ltd -Vs- Prabhavathy Gowthami	AG Sathyanarayana	P J George & Najeeb Usman Khan		
203	IBA/1121/2019	-	Sec 9 Rule 6 of IBC, 2016	Airpack Gases Vs Chowel India Pvt Ltd			Nithyanandham Ramachandran (Liquidator)	
		MA/5(CHE)/2023	Sec 60(5) & 42 of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	E Muthukumar Vs Liquidator of M/s Chowel India Pvt Ltd	S Shinu			
-Sd- (M Rajendran) Court Officer								
Although all efforts have made to give accurate information in the CauseList, the possibility of an inadvertent error if any, cannot be ruled out and regretted.								