




File No. 01/11/2026-NCLT (HYD)
राष्ट्रीय कम्पनी विधि अधिकरण/ NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL

छठा तल, ब्लॉक-3,
सी. जी. ओ. कम्प्लेक्स, लोधी रोड,
6th Floor, Block-3,
CGO Complex, Lodhi Road,
नई दिल्ली/New Delhi- 110003
दिनांक / Dated: 12th August 2026

Notification for 24th & 25th August 2026

There will be no sitting of the NCLT Hyderabad Bench (Court no. I & II) on 24.08.2026 & 25.08.2026 as Members (Technical) is on leave. All cases listed on 24.08.2026 & 25.08.2026 before NCLT Hyderabad Bench (Court no. I & II) will be re-notified and the dates will be uploaded on the website.

This issues with the approval of Hon'ble President, NCLT vide file no. 01/11/2026-NCLT(HYD) dated: 12th August 2026.


(Manoj Kumar Sharma)
Joint Registrar

Copy to:-

1. P.S. to Hon'ble President, National Company Law Tribunal, New Delhi.
2. Hon'ble Members, National Company Law Tribunal.
3. NCLT web site/ Notice Board.



File No. 25/02/2026-NCLT(Reg.)

राष्ट्रीय कम्पनी विधि अधिकरण/ NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL

छठा तल, ब्लॉक-3,
सी. जी. ओ. कम्प्लेक्स, लोधी रोड,
6th Floor, Block-3,
CGO Complex, Lodhi Road,
नई दिल्ली / New Delhi- 110003
दिनांक / Dated: 5th June 2026

CIRCULAR

Subject: Filing of Vakalatnama/Memorandum of Appearance and appearance before the National Company Law Tribunal – reg.

Reference is invited to Rules 2(3), 2(6), 2(16), 45, 119, 120 and 121 of the National Company Law Tribunal Rules, 2016 relating to appearance of parties through authorised representatives/legal practitioners before the Tribunal.

Rule 45 of the NCLT Rules, 2016 provides that every party may appear either in person or through an authorised representative duly authorised in writing and mandates filing of Vakalatnama or Memorandum of Appearance in Form NCLT-12. Further, Rule 119 provides that no legal practitioner or authorised representative shall be entitled to appear and act in any proceeding before the Tribunal unless the vakalatnama or memorandum of appearance is duly filed before the Tribunal.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Uday Shankar Triyar v. Ram Kalewar Prasad Singh & Anr.**, AIR 2006 SC 269, while dealing with deficiencies in vakalatnama, emphasised the need for scrutiny of such defects at the initial stage itself so that they may be rectified in time and do not give rise to avoidable procedural complications at a later stage. The Hon'ble Court also recognised that Courts and Tribunals are entitled to insist upon proper authorisation for appearance and representation before them.

It has been observed that in several matters before different Benches of the National Company Law Tribunal, advocates and authorised representatives are appearing, making mentioning's, seeking adjournments or otherwise addressing the Bench without a duly executed vakalatnama or memorandum of appearance being on record. It has further been observed that counsel appearing on advance service frequently raise objections relating to maintainability and make substantive submissions on behalf of parties without the authority to appear having been duly filed before the Tribunal.

In order to ensure procedural uniformity and proper compliance with the provisions of the NCLT Rules, 2016, the following directions are hereby issued for compliance by all concerned:

1. No advocate, legal practitioner or authorised representative shall ordinarily be permitted to appear, make mentioning, seek adjournment, or address the Bench in any matter unless duly executed vakalatnama or memorandum of appearance in Form NCLT-12 is filed in the concerned matter.

2. In cases involving urgency, the Bench may, at its discretion, permit provisional appearance subject to filing of vakalatnama/memorandum of appearance within such time as may be granted by the Bench.
3. Where any vakalatnama or memorandum of appearance is found to be defective, incomplete, unsigned, unstamped, improperly executed, or otherwise deficient, the Registry may permit curing of such defects within the time granted for removal of defects, in terms of the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Uday Shankar Triyar v. Ram Kalewar Prasad Singh & Anr.**, AIR 2006 SC 269.
4. The Registry shall ensure scrutiny of vakalatnama/memorandum of appearance with respect to:
 - (i) signatures/execution by the concerned party;
 - (ii) acceptance/signature by the advocate or authorised representative;
 - (iii) enrolment particulars, wherever applicable along with mobile no. and email address of the counsel;
 - (iv) proper authorisation in case of companies, LLPs, banks, financial institutions, Government departments or statutory authorities; and
 - (v) compliance with applicable procedural requirements.
5. In cases where Company Secretaries, Chartered Accountants, Cost Accountants or other authorised representatives appear before the Tribunal, appropriate authorisation/board resolution/letter of authority shall accompany the memorandum of appearance.
6. Where a counsel or authorised representative seeks to appear on the basis of advance service prior to filing a reply or vakalatnama/memorandum of appearance on record, a Memorandum of Appearance in Form NCLT-12 shall be submitted to the Court Master before commencement of the proceedings or within such time as may be directed by the Bench. Such Memorandum shall clearly indicate the name of the counsel/authorised representative, enrolment number (where applicable), mobile number, e-mail address, item number, case number and cause title of the matter.
7. Any change of legal practitioner or authorised representative shall be governed by Rules 120 and 121 of the NCLT Rules, 2016 and the requisite vakalatnama, memorandum of appearance, consent/no-objection or other documents, wherever applicable, shall be filed before the Tribunal. In cases where a no-objection certificate from the previously engaged legal practitioner or authorised representative is required but could not be obtained, the newly engaged counsel or authorised representative shall file an affidavit disclosing the circumstances and affirming that reasonable efforts were made to obtain such no-objection certificate.

8. Upon filing of a fresh vakalatnama or memorandum of appearance consequent upon a change of legal practitioner or authorised representative, the newly engaged counsel or authorised representative shall ensure completion of the requisite formalities for updation of counsel particulars in the CIS/e-filing system in the manner prescribed by the Registry.
9. Until such updation is effected, the Registry shall continue to reflect the particulars available in the CIS/e-filing records for the purposes of cause lists, electronic service of notices and communications, daily orders, judgments and other official records of the Tribunal.
10. The Court Master and Registry shall record and reflect in the cause lists, daily proceedings, orders and judgments only such particulars of legal practitioners or authorised representatives as are available in the CIS/e-filing system. Where the requisite formalities for updation of counsel particulars have not been completed, the name and particulars of the newly engaged counsel or authorised representative need not be incorporated in the aforesaid records until such updation is carried out.
11. All Registrars shall circulate this Circular amongst the officers and staff of the Registry and ensure strict compliance.

By Order of the Hon'ble President, NCLT.


(Utkarsh Yadav) 05/06/26
Registrar

Copy to:-

1. P.S. to Hon'ble President, National Company Law Tribunal, New Delhi.
2. P.S to Hon'ble Members, National Company Law Tribunal.
3. All Designated Registrar of NCLT Benches.
4. All Court Masters of NCLT Benches.

7. Standard Court Slips and Procedure

- (i) The standard formats of the Mentioning Slip, Adjournment Slip and Appearance Slip are appended as **Annexures IV, V and VI** respectively. All the benches will strictly adhere to the prescribed formats in order to ensure uniformity in practice and procedure.
- (ii) Any party seeking urgent mentioning of a matter before the Hon'ble Bench shall submit a Mentioning Slip in the prescribed format to the Court Master before commencement of the Court or at such time as may be permitted by the Hon'ble Bench. The Court Master shall place the same before the Hon'ble Bench for appropriate orders and, where the request is allowed, shall forward the endorsed slip to the Registry for taking necessary action.
- (iii) Any request for adjournment shall be made through an Adjournment Slip in the prescribed format. Where an adjournment is sought prior to the date of hearing, the request shall be addressed to the Designated Registrar of the concerned Bench, with a copy marked to the Respondent(s) or their learned Counsel/Authorised Representative(s) and the concerned Court Master. Such request shall ordinarily be submitted through e-mail or such other mode as may be prescribed, so as to reach the Registry not later than 2:00 p.m. on the working day preceding the date of hearing. The Court Master shall place the request before the Hon'ble Bench for appropriate orders, obtain the necessary directions of the Hon'ble Bench thereon, and ensure prompt compliance with such directions.
- (iv) Learned Counsel/Authorised Representatives appearing in any matter may mark their appearance by submitting an Appearance Slip in the prescribed format before the Court Master. The Appearance Slip shall form part of the Court record for the purpose of recording appearances. The Court Master shall ensure that the prescribed Appearance Slips are made readily available in the Court.
- (v) In cases where appearance is made through Video Conferencing, the learned Counsel/Authorised Representative may submit the duly filled Appearance Slip through the electronic mode notified in the Cause List by the concerned Court Master, before or during the course of the hearing.
- (vi) Nothing contained in this paragraph shall restrict the powers of the Hon'ble Bench to permit oral mentioning, entertain oral requests for adjournment, or adopt such other procedure as may be considered appropriate in the interest of justice.

ANNEXURE - III

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
_____ BENCH

MENTIONING SLIP

Date: _____

CP/CA/IA No.: ____ of ____

Petitioner/ Applicant

Versus

Respondent

It is respectfully submitted: -

1. That the above-mentioned matter is pending in scrutiny/listed before this Hon'ble Bench on _____
2. The present mentioning is being made for:

It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Bench may kindly permit mentioning of the matter; and pass appropriate directions for _____.

**[Name of Counsel / Party/Authorised Representative]
[Enrolment Number]
[Contact Details]**

Remarks of Court Master:-

Signature of Court Master

ANNEXURE - IV

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
_____ BENCH

ADJOURNMENT SLIP

To,
The Registrar,
National Company Law Tribunal

Subject: - Request for Adjournment

Sir,

Kindly adjourn the hearing of the case, particulars whereof are given below:

1. Case Number:
2. Bench:
3. Name of the Applicant (Petitioner/Respondent):
4. Reason for Adjournment:
5. Period of Adjournment:
6. Whether Intimation given to all the parties (Mention the Names of Advocates appearing for other parties with confirmation of Intimation)
7. Next date of hearing:
8. Whether any interim order is operating in the case:
9. Number of adjournment/s already taken:

(Name/signature)

ANNEXURE - V

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
_____ BENCH

APPEARANCE SLIP

Date of Listing -

Court No: –

Item No: –

Case/I.A. No: -

In the matter of:


S.no.	Name of the Advocate/ Authorised Representative/RP/Liquidator	Enrollment Number)If Any)
-------	--	---------------------------

1.

2.

Appearing for Petitioner(s) / Respondent(s) No.

Signature of the Counsel



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD - COURT-I**

**DAY : MONDAY
DATE : 24.08.2026**

As Per the Circular issued by the Principal Bench NCLT Dated: 12.08.2026, all the matters Dated: 24.08.2026, are Re-notified to the following Dates as mentioned below

S No	CP. No.	CA/IA No.	Purpose	Section/Rule	Name of Parties	Name of Counsel for Petitioner/Applicant	Name of Counsel for Respondent	Name of (1) IRP (2) R P (3) Liquidator (4) M C., (5) B T.,	Remarks
Ordinary List									
1	CP(IB) No. 91/7/HDB/2025	Main Case	IRP appointed on 19.12.2025	U/s. 7 of IBC, R/w Rule 4 of IBBI (AAA), 2016	UCO Bank Vs M/s. PVSRSN Enterprises Pvt Ltd.,	Mr. G P Yash Vardhan, Mr. Harsha Vardhan, Ms. Naveeni Jain,	C D Set Ex-Parte on 27.10.2025	Mr. Murali Prasad Nalam, I R P	Re-notified to 07.09.2026
		IA (IBC) 554/2026	Pleadings Completed, For Hearing Respondents to handover the books of Accounts and all the documents relating to the C D	U/s. 60(5), 68, 236 of IBC 2016	Mr. Murali Prasad Nalam IRP Vs Mr. Venkata Sitarama Swamy Naidu Pallacholla & Mr. Venkata Rangayya Naidu Pallacholla , Suspended Directors	Ms. Manoranjani Mr. M. Rama Rao Ms. Divya	Ms. B. Nishita Mr. G. Aditya		

2	CP (IB) No. 55/9/HDB/ 2026	Main Case	Hearing before initiation of CIRP For Hearing Default Amount : Rs. 15,44,97,400/- Date of Demand Notice: 05.03.2026	U/s 9 of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 6 of IBBI (AAA), 2016	Dr. Kanwal Pandita Vs M/s. Optimus Drugs Pvt Ltd.,	Mr. M. Anil Kumar			Re-notified to 06.10.2026
3	CP(IB)No. 45/95/HDB/ 2024	Main Case	R P Appointed on 30.04.2024 For filing Complete Counter	u/s. 95 of IBC	Indian Bank, S A M Branch – Koti Vs Mr. Pasupuleti Rithvik & M/s. Bevcon Wayors Pvt Ltd	Mr. Sethu Madhava Rao	Ms. Siva Praneeta for P G	Mr. Chinnam Poorna Chandar Rao, R P Mr. Rama Rao for RP	Re-notified to 14.10.2026
4	CP(IB)No. 47/95/HDB/ 2024	Main Case	R P Appointed on 30.04.2024 Pleadings Completed, For Hearing	u/s. 95 of IBC	Indian Bank, S A M Branch – Koti Vs Smt.Yadaki Sumana & M/s. Bevcon Wayors Pvt Ltd	Mr. Sethu Madhava Rao	Mr. M M Viswaraj for P G	Mr. Chinnam Poorna Chandar Rao, R P Mr. Rama Rao for RP	Re-notified to 14.10.2026
5	CP(IB)No. 50/95/HDB/ 2024	Main Case	R P Appointed on 30.04.2024 For filing Complete Counter	u/s. 95 of IBC	Indian Bank, S A M Branch – Koti Vs Mr. Pasupuleti Archit & M/s. Bevcon Wayors Pvt Ltd	Mr. Sethu Madhava Rao	Ms. Siva Praneeta for P G	Mr. Chinnam Poorna Chandar Rao, R P Mr. Rama Rao for RP	Re-notified to 14.10.2026
6	CP(IB)No. 51/95/HDB/ 2024	Main Case	R P Appointed on 30.04.2024 For filing Complete Counter	u/s. 95 of IBC	Indian Bank, S A M Branch – Koti Vs Shri Yadiki Srinivas Reddy & M/s. Bevcon Wayors Pvt Ltd	Mr. Sethu Madhava Rao	Mr. M M Viswaraj for P G	Mr. Chinnam Poorna Chandar Rao, R P Mr. Rama Rao for RP	Re-notified to 14.10.2026
7	CP(IB)No. 52/95/HDB/ 2024	Main Case	R P Appointed on 30.04.2024 For filing Complete Counter	u/s. 95 of IBC	Indian Bank, S A M Branch – Koti Vs Smt Pasupuleti Anitha Lakshman & M/s. Bevcon Wayors Pvt Ltd	Mr. Sethu Madhava Rao	Ms. Siva Praneeta for P G	Mr. Chinnam Poorna Chandar Rao, R P Mr. Rama Rao for RP	Re-notified to 14.10.2026

8	CP (IB) No. 40/95/HDB/ 2026	Main Case	CP Admitted on 11.03.2026 For Counter in C P Default Amount: Rs. 31, 820, 280.87/- Date of Default 19.11.2025	U/s. 95 of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 7 (2) of IBBI (PGIRP), 2019	M/s. Omkara Assets Reconstruction Pvt Ltd., Vs Mrs. Aarti Saraiwala & M/s. Deem Distributors Pvt Ltd.,	Mr. V V S N Raju Mr. Praveen Jain , Mr. Rath i & others	Ms. Sandhya Tadla, R P	Re-notified to 28.10.2026
9	CP (IB) No. 41/95/HDB/ 2026	Main Case	CP Admitted on 11.03.2026 For Counter in C P Default Amount: Rs. 3,18, 20, 280.87/- Date of Default 19.11.2025	U/s. 95 of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 7 (2) of IBBI (PGIRP), 2019	M/s. Omkara Assets Reconstruction Pvt Ltd., Vs Mr. Sanjya Kumar Agarwal & M/s. Deem Distributors Pvt Ltd.,	Mr. V V S N Raju Mr. Praveen Jain , Mr. Rath i & others	Ms. Sandhya Tadla, R P	Re-notified to 28.10.2026
10	Company Appeal No. 45/252/HDB /2025	Main Case	For Observations by RoC	U/s 252 of the Companies Act, 2013	Mr. Dharmana Padmasree Vs RoC, Telangana & M/s. Nexgen Turnkey Projects (India) Pvt., Ltd.,	Mr. D. Padmasree, Party in Person		Re-notified to 31.08.2026
		Inv Petn (C A) 02/2025	For Counter by R 1 & R 2 (Counter by R 3 filed on 10.09.2025) implead the Applicant as Respondent 3 in Main Petition	Rule 11 R/w 20 (8) & 32 of NCLT, 2016	Mr. Dharmana Dharma Rao (Shareholder/Ex-Director) for M/s. Nexgen Turnkey Projects (india) Pvt., Ltd., - Applicant / Proposed R 3 Vs Mr. Dharmana Padmasree (Director & Shareholder of R 3 Company) - R 1 / Petitioner in Main C P , RoC Telangana - R 2 , M/s. Nexgen Turnkey Projects (india) Pvt., Ltd., - R 3	Mr. D. Vijaya Bhaskar, Mr. J. Basava Raju, Mr. D. Sai Dheeraj	Mr. M. Viswaraj for R 1	Re-notified to 31.08.2026

	Inv Petn (C.A) 01/2026	For Counter by R 1&R 2 (Counter by R 3 E - filed on 18.03.2026 - under Defected Doc) To implead the Applicant as R 3 in CP , as the allegations affects his rights & possible repercussions likely to be occurred in the event of R 3 Company be directed to be restored after the lapse of 8 Years (21.07.2017). Since the date of Company being struck - off	Rule 11 R/w 20 (8) & 32 of NCLT, 2016	Mr. Dharma Shasidhar (Shareholder/Ex-Director) for M/s. Nexgen Turnkey Projects (india) Pvt., Ltd., - Applicant / Proposed R 3 Vs Mr. Dharmana Padmasree (Director & Shareholder of R 3 Company) - R 1 / Petitioner in Main C P, RoC Telangana - R 2, M/s. Nexgen Turnkey Projects (india) Pvt., Ltd., - R 3	Mr. D. Vijaya Bhaskar, Mr. J. Basava Raju, Mr. D. Sai Dheeraj			Re-notified to 31.08.2026
Note:								
1. Although efforts have been made to give accurate information, possibility of an inadvertent error, if any, cannot be ruled out & is regretted.								
2. In case of any query, update etc. regarding any matter the same may be communicated to registrar-hyd@nclt.gov.in								
3. Any follow-up may be done with the Court Officer, Assistant Registrar or Deputy Registrar.								
							Sd/- Mr. Kalanidhi Sanjiv, Assistant Registrar NCLT, Hyderabad Bench	