



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI
PRINCIPAL BENCH**

Hearing Through : VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

Cause List No. 1

DAY : FRIDAY

DATE: 15.12.2023

TIME: 10:30 AM

1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : **25179097308**
2. **VC Link <https://ncltdelhi.webex.com/meet/courtofficerpb-nd>**
3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.
4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.
5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in), otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.
6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.
7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.
8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.
9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.
10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.
11. Parties/Counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.
12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.

PRESENT : JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR, HON'BLE PRESIDENT

S. No.	CP. No.	IA/CA No.	Purpose	Section	Name of Parties	Name of Counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of Counsel for Respondent	Name of IRP/RP / Liquidator/ MP	Remarks
FOR PRONOUNCEMENT OF ORDER									
201	TA (Co. Act)-04(PB)/2023		For Order	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Manimegalai Unnikrishnan Vs. JSM Aggregates and Sands Private Limited	Rishabh Tripathi			
ORDINARY LIST :									
1	TA (IBC)-39(PB)/2022		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	De Souza Leisures Private Limited Vs. Mypreferred Transformation And Hospitality Private Limited	Raghav Sharma			
2	TA (Co. Act)-40(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Ishwar Industries Ltd Vs. Madhu Bhaskar	Sarwar Raza			
3	TA (Co. Act)-45(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Ajay Kishore Merchant & Ors. Vs. Damos Trading Company Private Limited	Arpan Behl			
4	TA (IBC)-27(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Raj Rani Gupta Vs. Mothers Pride Education Personna Pvt. Ltd.	Mohit Chaudhary			
5	TA (Co. Act)-48(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Pukhraj Singh Chug Vs. Anoop Sharma	Prashant Pakhiddey			
6	TA (IBC)-55(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Future Corporate Resources Private Limited Vs. Central Bank of India	Pallavi Pratap			
7	TA (IBC)-56(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Ojas Tradelease and Mall Management Private Limited Vs. Central Bank of India	Pallavi Pratap			
8	TA (IBC)-57(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Future corporate resources private limited Vs. Central Bank of India	Pallavi Pratap			
9	TA (IBC)-58(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Central Departmental Stores Private Limited Vs. Central Bank of India	Pallavi Pratap			
10	TA (Co. Act)-39(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Dr. Yadagiri R. Pendri & Anr. Vs. Escientia Biopharma Private Limited & Others	Shree Sinha			
11	TA (Co. Act)-06(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Gurmeet Singh Chugh Vs. V N Electronics Private Limited	Rakhi Ray			

12	TA (IBC)-45(PB)/2022		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	State Bank of India Vs. Shakuntala Devi	Sanjeev Kapoor			
13	TA (IBC)-49(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	A-One Realtors Pvt Ltd Vs. Energy Efficiency Services Limited	Mohit Chaudhary			
14	TA (IBC)-21(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Spaze Towers Private Limited Vs. Vivek Khanna & Ors.	Sumesh Dhawan			
15	TA (Co. Act)-35(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Bhushan Information Technologies Private Limited Vs. BSN Enterprises Private Limited	Nalini			
16	TA (IBC)-54(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Bank of India Limited Vs. JTPL Private Limited	Mital and Mital advocates			
17	TA (Co. Act)-50(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Innovative Textiles Limited Vs.	Lexster Law LLP			
SUPPLEMENTARY LIST :									
18	TA (IBC)-61(PB)/2023		New Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	VA Realcon Private Limited Vs. Avail Holding Limited	Mohd Nazim Khan			
19	TA (IBC)-62(PB)/2023		New Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Damodar Das Singhee Vs. HDFC Bank Limited	Shantanu Ghosh			
20	TA (IBC)-63(PB)/2023		New Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Ram Kumar Singhee Vs. HDFC Bank Limited	Shantanu Ghosh			
21	TA (Co. Act)-38(PB)/2023		TA Dismissed as withdrawn dated 01.12.2023	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Unique Estates Development Company Limited Vs. Satwant Singh and Ors	N/A			
		New CA-423/2023	For withdrawal of Present TA	Rule 11 of NCLT	Unique Estates Development Company Limited Vs. Satwant Singh and Ors	Randeep Sachdeva			

Note: Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List the possibility of an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and regretted, if any.

Copy to:-

- 1 Notice Board.
- 2 Registrar, NCLT
- 3 Secretary, NCLT
- 4 NCLT Website (www.nclt.gov.in).



Nirmala Vincent
Assistant Registrar (In- Charge)



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI
PRINCIPAL BENCH**

Hearing Through : VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

Cause List No. 2

DAY : FRIDAY

DATE: 15.12.2023

TIME: After Completion of Cause No. 1

1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : **25179097308**
2. **VC Link <https://ncltdelhi.webex.com/meet/courtofficerpb-nd>**
3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.
4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.
5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in), otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.
6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.
7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.
8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.
9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.
10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.
11. Parties/Counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.
12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.

CORAM: 1. JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR, HON'BLE PRESIDENT									
2. SH. AVINASH K. SRIVASTAVA, HON'BLE MEMBER (T)									
FOR PRONOUNCEMENT OF ORDER									
101	71/241-242/PB/2020	Main Case	CP Allowed dated 01.04.2022	241-242	Union of India, MCA Vs. Delhi Gymkhana Club	N/A			
		CA-440/2022	For Order	Sect 419 (3)	Union of India, MCA Vs. Delhi Gymkhana Club	Deepak Khosla			
ADMISSION MATTERS :									
102	(IBPP)-01(PB)/2023	Main Case	For Further Consideration	U/s. 54C (1) of (IBC) (Pre-Packged)	RG Residency Pvt Ltd	Saurabh Jain			
103	(IBPP)-02(PB)/2023	Main Case	For Further Consideration	U/s. 54C (1) of (IBC) (Pre-Packged)	KVIR Towers Private Limited	Saurabh Jain			

Note: Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List the possibility of an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and regretted, if any.

Copy to:-

- 1 Notice Board.
- 2 Registrar, NCLT
- 3 Secretary, NCLT
- 4 NCLT Website (www.nclt.gov.in).



Nirmala Vincent
Assistant Registrar (In- Charge)



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CHENNAI
SPECIAL BENCH (Court I)**

Cause list No. 3

Hearing Through: VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : 2517 371 8726 (ID of respective Bench)</p> <p>2. VC Link https://ncltchennai.webex.com/meet/chennainclt1</p> <p>3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.</p> <p>4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and <u>not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.</u></p> <p>5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in) , otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.</p> <p>6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.</p> <p>7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.</p> <p>8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.</p> <p>9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.</p> <p>10. Apperance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.</p> <p>11. Parties/ counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.</p> <p>12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.</p> | <p>DAY : FRIDAY</p> <p>DATE: 15.12.2023</p> <p>TIME: After Completion of Cause list - II</p> |
|--|---|

**CORAM: JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR, HON'BLE PRESIDENT
HON'BLE SHRI. VENKATARAMAN SUBRAMANIAM, MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

S No	CP/CA No	IA/MA No	Section	Name of Parties	Name of counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of counsel for Respondent	Name of IRP/ RP/ (Liquidator)	Remarks
THE FOLLOWING MATTERS WILL BE HEARD THROUGH - PHYSICAL HEARING								
1	CA/1/IB/2017	-	Sec 9 Rule 6 of IBC, 2016	Alcon Laboratories(India) Pvt Ltd Vs Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd			S Rajendran (RP)	Resolution Plan Approved on 03-02-2023
		IA/574(CHE)/2023 in IA/1088/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			

CA/1/IB/2017	IA/576(CHE)/2023 in IA/1012/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar		S Rajendran (RP)	
	IA/607(CHE)/2023 in IA/997/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/572(CHE)/2023 in IA/1208/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/605(CHE)/2023 in IA/1027/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/564(CHE)/2023 in IA/1303/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/577(CHE)/2023 in IA/799/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Central Board of Trustee, Employees provident Fund (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/603(CHE)/2023 in IA/1011/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Central Board of Trustee, Employees provident Fund (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/565(CHE)/2023 in IA/1214/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/606(CHE)/2023 in IA/222/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			

CA/1/IB/2017	IA/573(CHE)/2023 in IA/1024/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar		S Rajendran (RP)	
	IA/604(CHE)/2023 in IA/1028/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
	IA/1028(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/222(CHE)/2023	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1088(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/997(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC,2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Vs (RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1011(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Regional provident Fund Commissioner-I Vs (RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1012(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Vs (RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1214(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M.S Viswanathan			
	IA/1024(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1027(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1208(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viwanathan			

CA/1/TB/2017	IA/1303(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs RP of Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan		S Rajendran (RP)	
	IA/799(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Central Board of Trustees, Employees Provident Fund, Regional Provident Fund commissioner-II, Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M.S Viswanathan			
-Sd- (M Rajendran) Court Officer							
Although all efforts have made to give accurate information in the CauseList, the possibility of an inadvertent error if any, cannot be ruled out and regretted.							



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH
COURT HALL No: II**

**DAY : FRIDAY
DATE: 15.12.2023**

Cause list No.4

Special Bench

**CORAM: 1. JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR , HON'BLE PRESIDENT
2. SHRI. CHARAN SINGH, HON'BLE MEMBER TECHNICAL**

Sl. No.	CP/TP No./ CA No.	CA/IA No.	Purpose	Section / Rule	Name of Parties	Name of Counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of Counsel for Respondent	Name of (1) IRP / (2) RP / (3) Liquidator / (4) MP	Next date of hearing
Ordinary List									
1	CP No.01/2009 TP(PB) No. 79/397-398/HDB/2017		For hearing	388B, 397/398	Union of India Vs Satyam Computer Services Ltd & Others	& T Sujan Kumar Reddy, Central Govt Counsel	Amir Bavani for R2 & R3 Ashish Kabra R4 Sindhu Kumari Counsel - R6 & R7 Kaveri Srivastava – R5&R8-R10 T. Vijayakumar Reddy for R-11&R12 Naresh Kumar Sangam R13		Renotfied to 05.01.2024
2	CP No.3/2009 TP (PB) No.77/397-398/HDB/2017		For hearing	388, 397/ 398 408	Union of India Vs Maytas Infra Limited & Others	T Sujan Kumar Reddy, Central Govt Counsel	G. Bhupesh for R-1 Amir Bavani R2& R3 Sanjay Kishore R5,7&14 Y Suryanarayana for R-6 Rusheek Reddy for R8		Renotfied to 05.01.2024
3	CP No.4/2009 TP (PB) No.78/397-398/HDB/2017		For hearing	388, 397/398	Union of India Vs Maytas Properties Limited	T Sujan Kumar Reddy, Central Govt Counsel	S Nagesh Reddy & G Bhupesh for R-1 Amir Bavani R2,R3& R4 Rusheek Reddy R5		Renotfied to 05.01.2024

Note: In view of the adjournment Slip moved by Ld. Counsel Shri Srujan Kumar Reddy on behalf of Union of India , the Matters stands Adjourned to 05.01.2024

Sd/-
K B Kumar
Deputy Registrar
NCLT, Hyderabad Bench

Although efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List, possiblility of an inadverdent error, if any, cannot be ruled out and is regretted.

**In case of any query, update etc. regarding any matter the same may be communicated to registrar-hyd@nclt.gov.in
Any follow-up may be done with the Court Officer, Assistant Registrar or Deputy Registrar.**



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CHENNAI
DIVISION BENCH (Court I)**

Cause list No. 5

Hearing Through: VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : 2517 371 8726 (ID of respective Bench)</p> <p>2. VC Link https://ncltchennai.webex.com/meet/chennainclt1</p> <p>3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.</p> <p>4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and <u>not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.</u></p> <p>5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in) , otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.</p> <p>6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.</p> <p>7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.</p> <p>8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.</p> <p>9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.</p> <p>10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.</p> <p>11. Parties/ counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.</p> <p>12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.</p> | <p>DAY : FRIDAY</p> <p>DATE: 15.12.2023</p> <p>TIME: After Completion of Cause list - 3</p> |
|---|--|

CORAM: HON'BLE SHRI SANJIV JAIN, MEMBER (JUDICIAL)
HON'BLE SHRI VENKATARAMAN SUBRAMANIAM, MEMBER (TECHNICAL)

S No	CP/CA No	IA/MA No	Section	Name of Parties	Name of counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of counsel for Respondent	Name of IRP/ RP/ (Liquidator)	Remarks
ALL THE FOLLOWING MATTERS WILL BE HEARD THROUGH - VIDEO CONFERENCING								
FRESH MATTERS								
1	CP(IB)/259(CHE)/2022	-	Sec 7 Rule 4 of IBC, 2016	Pegasus Asset Reconstruction Pvt Ltd Vs Dhandapani Spinning Mills Ltd	R Sugumaran	Sandeepkumar	-	
		IA/2326/CHE/2023	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Pegasus Asset Reconstruction Pvt Ltd Vs Dhandapani Spinning Mills Ltd	R Sugumaran			

2	IBA/780/2019		Sec 7 Rule 4 of IBC, 2016	Sundaram Bnp Paribas Home Finance Ltd Vs Mpl 2 Wheelers Pvt Ltd			A Mohan Kumar (Liquidator)	
		IA(IBC)/2332(CHE)2023	Sec 35(1)(n) & 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Liquidator of M/s MPL 2 Wheelers Pvt Ltd	S Sathiyandarayanan			
3	IBA/911/2019	-	Sec 9 Rule 6 of IBC, 2016	Vasavi Bala Resins Pvt Ltd Vs Shriram SEPL Composites Pvt Ltd			Ajay S Jain (Liquidator)	
		IA(IBC)/2334(CHE)2023	Reg 45(3) of IBBI Reg 2016	Liquidator of M/s.Shriram SEPL Composites Pvt Ltd	Jayanthi K Shah			
4	CP(IB)/137(CHE)/2021	-	Sec 7 Rule 4 of IBC, 2016	Yes Bank Ltd Vs Uniply Décor Ltd			Santhanam Rajashree (IRP)	
		IA/2330/CHE/2023	Sec 60(5)(c) of IBC, 2016 R/w Reg 10, 12-14 of IBBI Reg 2016	Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs IRP of M/s Uniply Decor Ltd	S N Ravichandran			
		IA/2331/CHE/2023	Sec 60(5)(c) of IBC, 2016 R/w Reg 10, 12-14 of IBBI Reg 2016	Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs IRP of M/s Uniply Decors Ltd	S N Ravichandran			
5	IBA/1064/2019	-	Sec 9 Rule 6 of IBC, 2016	Gem Suppliers Vs EAP infrastructure Pvt Ltd			Chandrasekhar Sagutoor (Liquidator)	
		IA/2322/CHE/2023	Sec 42 of IBC, 2016	KONE Elevator India Pvt Ltd Vs Liquidator of M/s EAP Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	N P Vijay Kumar			
		IA/2325/CHE/2023	Sec 42 of IBC, 2016	KONE Elevator India Pvt Ltd Vs Liquidator of M/s EAP Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	N P Vijay Kumar			
PRIORITY LIST								
101	CP(IB)/263/CHE/2022	-	Sec 10 Rule 7 of IBC, 2016	Orion Water Teatment Pvt Ltd	A S Sathish Kumar			
102	CP(IB)/71(CHE)/2023	-	94(1) of IBC, 2016	Jawahar Krishnan	A Sathish Kumar			
IRP TO BE APPOINTED								
103	CP(IB)/158(CHE)/2022	-	Sec 95 of IBC, 2016	State Bank of India Vs Prakash Chandra Kapoor	K Chandrasekaran			

104	CP(IB)/173(CHE)/2022	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	State Bank of India Vs D Prem	R Sugumaran			
105	CP(IB)/175(CHE)/2022	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	State Bank of India Vs P Uma Shankar	R Sugumaran			
106	CP(IB)/176(CHE)/2022	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	State Bank of India Vs K Palanisamy	R Sugumaran			
107	CP(IB)/177(CHE)/2022	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	State Bank of India Vs Aadith D Vikram	R Sugumaran			
108	CP(IB)/179(CHE)/2022	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	State Bank of India Vs S Uma Maheshwari	R Sugumaran			
109	CP(IB)/180(CHE)/2022	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	State Bank of India Vs V Sekar	R Sugumaran			
110	CP(IB)/181(CHE)/2022	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	State Bank of India Vs U Suganthi Shankar	R Sugumaran			
111	CP(IB)/45(CHE)/2023	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	State Bank of India Vs S Swarnalatha	K Chandrasekaran			
112	CP(IB)/65(CHE)/2023	-	Sec 95 of IBC, 2016	RKJ Enterprises Vs S Kadarkarai	Jayanthi K Shah			
IRP REPORT FILED - FOR ADMISSION								
113	CP(IB)/238(CHE)/2021	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	Bank of Baroda -Vs- Ramkhumar Narasimhan	Shree Law Services	PV Balasubramaniam	Asir Raja Selvan (IRP)	
114	CP(IB)/155(CHE)2021	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	The South Indian Bank Ltd -VS- Dr. G Palani G Periasamy	T Ravichandran	Mayan Jain	Ramakrishnan Sadasivan (IRP)	
115	CP(IB)/156(CHE)2021	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	The South Indian Bank Ltd -VS- Visalakshi Periyasamy	T Ravichandran	Mayan Jain	C Prabakaran (IRP)	
116	CP(IB)/11(CHE)/2022	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	State Bank of India -Vs- Ganesan Natarajan	Ramesh Chandra Prusti	-	Medikonda Ravikanth (IRP)	
117	CP(IB)/55(CHE)2022	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	State Bank of India -Vs- B Raajarajan	R Sugumaran		Jayashree S Iyer (IRP)	
118	CP(IB)/81(CHE)/2022	-	Sec 95(1) of IBC, 2016	State Bank of India -Vs- R Vasuki	Vaiduria	Jesus Moris Ravi	K Sivalingam (IRP)	

ORDINARY LIST								
201	IBA/1459/2019	-	Sec 7 Rule 4 of IBC, 2016	Tourism Finance Corporation of India Vs Appu Hotels Ltd			Radhakrishnan Dharmarajan (RP)	
		MA/72/CHE/2021	Sec 60(5) of IBC 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT	Voltas Ltd -Vs- (RP) of M/s Appu Hotels Ltd	Srinivasan	T Ravichandran		
		IA/331(CHE)/2021	Sec 42 of IBC, 2016 r/w Rule 11 of NCLT	Godrej Tyson Foods Ltd -Vs- (RP) of M/s Appu Hotels Ltd	PJ Rishikesh	T Ravichandran		
		IA/1211(CHE)/2021	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	United Agency & Ors -Vs- (RP) of M/s Appu Hotels Ltd	Chirag Gupta	T Ravichandran		
		IA/1104(CHE)/2021	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Sree Provision Stores and another -Vs- (RP) of M/s Appu Hotels Ltd	Deepa Kumar	T Ravichandran		
		IA/1238(CHE)/2021	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Sree Provision Stores and another -Vs- (RP) of M/s Appu Hotels Ltd	Jayanthi K Shah	T Ravichandran		
		IA/324/CHE/2021	Sec 43 & 44 of IBC, 2016	RP of M/s Appu Hotels Limited -Vs- Arunachalam Sennimalai & 4 Ors	Nivedita Bhaskaran	Ramasamy Meyyappan R1 to R3 R D Ashok Kumar R5		
		IA/325/CHE/2021	Sec 43 & 44 of IBC, 2016	RP of M/s Appu Hotels Limited -Vs- Arunachalam Sennimalai & 5 Ors	Nivedita Bhaskaran	Ramasamy Meyyappan R1 to R3 R D Ashok Kumar R5		
202	CA/1/IB/2017	-	Sec 9 Rule 6 of IBC, 2016	Alcon Laboratories(India) Pvt Ltd Vs Vasanth Health Care Pvt Ltd			S Rajendran (RP)	
		IA/2170/CHE/2023	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	CoC of Vasanth Health Care Pvt Ltd Vs Reliance Commercial Finance Ltd	N P Vijay Kumar			
		IA/1160/IB/2020	Sec 60(5) of IBC 2016	Reliance Commercial Finance Ltd -Vs- RP of M/s Vasanth Health Care Pvt Ltd	Karan Dalal	N P Vijay Kumar		
		IA/1161/IB/2020	Sec 60(5) of IBC 2016	Reliance Commercial Finance Ltd -Vs- RP of M/s Vasanth Health Care Pvt Ltd	Karan Dalal	N P Vijay Kumar		
203	CP/565/IB/2017	-	Sec 9 Rule 6 of IBC, 2016	Murali Sundaram Vs Air Carnival Pvt Ltd			G V Ravi Kumar (Liquidator)	
		IA/504(CHE)/2022 IN IA/197(CHE)/2017	Sec 60(5)(c) of IBC, 2016 R/w Regulations 37A of IBBI	(S.I.Irudhayanathan) and 1 Anr -Vs- Liquidator of Air Carnival Pvt Ltd & 1 Anr	Pranav V Shankar			

		IA/197/CHE/2021	Sec 19(2) & 60(5) of IBC 2016	Liquidator of M/s Air Carnival Pvt Ltd -Vs- S I Nathan (Suspended Director)	B Ramana Kumar	Swetha	G V Ravi Kumar (Liquidator)	
		IA/1109(CHE)/2021	Sec 43(2)(A) & (B) of IBC, 2016	Liquidator of M/s Air Carnival Pvt Ltd Vs S I Nathan & 2 Others	B Ramana Kumar			
204	IBA/363/2019	-	Sec 7 Rule 4 of IBC, 2016	Union Bank of India Vs Lakshmi Subbiahh Tex Pvt Ltd			CA S Prabhu (Liquidator)	
		IA/1603/CHE/2023	Reg 44 of IBBI Reg 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Liquidator of M/s Lakshmi Subbaiaah Tex Pvt Ltd	A G Sathyanarayana			
		IA/39(CHE)/2023 in IA/1069/2021	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 205 r/w Rule 11 of NCLT rules 2016	K P S Muniswaran Vs Liquidator of M/s Lakshmi Subbiah Tex Pvt Ltd	K P S Muniswaran			
		IA/1069(CHE)/2021 IN IA/1049/2020	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	KPS Muniswaran (Suspended Director) M/s Lakshmi Subbaiaah Tex Pvt Ltd -Vs- (Liquidator) of M/s Lakshmi Subbaiah Tex Pvt Ltd	B Dhanaraj			
		IA/1076(CHE)/2021 IN IA/1049/2020	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	KPS Muniswaran -Vs- (Liquidator) of M/s Lakshmi Sunnaiaah Tex Pvt Ltd & Anr	B Dhanaraj	Chirag Gupta		
205	CP(IB)/61(CHE)/2021	-	Sec 7 Rule 4 of IBC, 2016	Axis Bank Ltd Vs Balasara Engineering Products Ltd			Nithiyanantham Ramachandran (RP)	
		IA/726(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 of IBC, 2016	RP of Balsara Engineering Products Ltd -Vs- Jitendra Bhandari & 2 Ors	T Ravichandran	Sankhla & Associates		
		IA/727(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 of IBC, 2016	RP of Balsara Engineering Products Ltd -Vs- Jitendra Bhandari & 3 Ors	T Ravichandran	H Karthik Seshadri		
		IA/515(CHE)/2022	Sec 14, 60(5) & 66 of IBC, 2016	IRP of Balsara Engineering Products Ltd -Vs- Sri Jitendra Bhandari & Anr	T Ravichandran	H Karthik Seshadri		
		IA/672(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 of IBC, 2016	IRP of Balsara Engineering Products Ltd -Vs- Bhandari Steels Ltd & 3 Ors	T Ravichandran			
		IA/713(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 of IBC, 2016	IRP of Balsara Engineering Products Ltd -Vs- Jitendra Bhandari & 2 Ors	T Ravichandran			

		IA/714(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 of IBC, 2016	IRP of Balsara Engineering Products Ltd -Vs- Bhandari Steels Ltd & 3 Ors	T Ravichandran		Nithiyanantham Ramachandran (RP)	
		IA/1333(CHE)/2022	Sec 43 of IBC, 2016	RP of M/s Balsara Engineering Products Ltd Vs Jitendran Bhandari 3 Ors	T Ravichandran			
		IA/1342(CHE)/2022	Sec 43 of IBC, 2016	RP of M/s Balsara Engineering Products Ltd Vs Jitendran Bhandari 3 Ors	T Ravichandran			
COMPANIES ACT MATTER								
206	CP(CA)/89(CHE)/2023	-	Sec 66 of CA, 2013	Pfizer Healthcare India Pvt Ltd	Pawan Jhabakh			
PHYSICAL HEARING @ 02.30 PM								
207	IBA/73/2020	-	Sec 9 Rule 6 of IBC, 2016	MOL Toyofuji Automotive Logistics India Pvt Ltd Vs Sical Logistics Ltd			Sripatham Venkatasubramanian Ramkumar (RP)	
		IA/92(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	RP of M/s Sical Logistics Ltd -Vs- The office of the joint commissioner of Income Tax	Ananth Merathia			
		IA/128(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 of IBC, 2016	RP of M/s Sical Logistics Pvt Ltd -Vs- R Ram Mohan & 6 Others	Ananth Merathia	Sarany R1-R4		
		IA/169(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Sical Logistics Ltd -Vs- R Ram Mohan & 8 others	Anant Merathia	Raghunathan -R1&R2 Pavithra D - R5 Deepa H - R7 - R9		
		IA/170(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Sical Logistics Ltd -Vs- R Ram Mohan & 7 others	Anant Merathia	Raghunathan -R1&R2 Pavithra D - R5 Deepa H - R7 - R9		
		IA/171(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Sical Logistics Ltd -Vs- R Ram Mohan & 8 others	Anant Merathia	Raghunathan -R1&R2 Pavithra D - R5 Deepa H - R7 - R9		
		IA/172(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Sical Logistics Ltd -Vs- R Ram Mohan & 6 others	Anant Merathia	Raghunathan -R1&R2 Pavithra D - R5 Deepa H - R7 - R9		
-Sd- (M Rajendran) Court Officer Although all efforts have made to give accurate information in the CauseList, the possibility of an inadvertent error if any, cannot be ruled out and regretted.								