



NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL

HYDERABAD

COURT II- DIVISION BENCH

Hearing Through: VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

DAY : Wednesday

DATE : 09.09.2026

TIME : 10:30 AM

1. VC LINK : <https://hydnc.lt/webex.com/meet/hydcourt2>

2. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through VC Mode.

3. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.

4. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No., along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in) , Strictly comply with the same, otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted to attend the proceedings.

5. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.

6. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.

7. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.

8. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.

9. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.

10. Parties/ Counsels appearing physically should make attendance in the register maintained in the court for the purpose.

CORAM : 1. Shri. Rajeev Bhardwaj, Hon'ble Member (Judicial)

2. Shri. Sanjay Puri, Hon'ble Member (Technical)

All counsels appearing in Section 7 matters shall invariably make submissions and adjournments are not allowed

S No	CP. No.	CA/IA No.	Purpose	Section/Rule	Name of Parties	Name of Counsel for Petitioner/ Applicant	Name of Counsel for Respondent	Name of (1) IRP (2) R P (3) Liquidator (4) M C., (5) B T.,	Remarks
------	---------	-----------	---------	--------------	-----------------	---	--------------------------------	--	---------

For Pronouncement of Orders								
1	CP 46/66/2025		For Pronouncement of Orders	U/S 66 of Companies Act	DEVANAHALLI TOLLWAY PRIVATE LIMITED	Racharla Ramakrishna Gupta		For Orders
Supplementary List								
2	CP IB 144/2026	Main Case	Default Amount : Rs.55,91,16,494.62 Date of default: 31.03.2021	7 of IBC	Canara Bank Vs Sanvita Biotechnologies Pvt Ltd	Mr. Dishit Bhattacharjee		Fresh CP
3	Company Petition IB/214/7/ 2025 (Listed on 3.11.2026)	Main Case	For filing physical copy of rejoinder (filed)	U/S 7 of IBC	PTC INDIA FINANCIAL SERVICES LIMITED VS DANU WIND PARKS PRIVATE LIMITED	Sai Sanjay Suraneni	Deepika Thakur M Ramu	
		IA (IBC) 1283/2026	To allow the present application & direct the Registry to list the CP on an urgent basis, on any date in Sep 2026 as may be convenient to this Hon'ble Tribunal	U/r 11 & 13 of NCLT Rules 2026	PTC INDIA FINANCIAL SERVICES LIMITED VS DANU WIND PARKS PRIVATE LIMITED	Mr. Sidharth Sethi & Others		Fresh IA
4	Company Petition IB/174/9/2025	Main case	Pleadings Completed For hearing	U/S 9 of IBC	M/s. Altronix VS MTAR TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED	Ms.ISHA SINHA	SHAIK GOUSE	
		IA (IBC) 1268/2026	To admit the present application and advance the date of hearing of CP(IB) 174/9/2025 presently listed on 4.11.2026 to an earlier date	U/r 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	M/s. Altronix VS MTAR TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED	Ms. Isha Sinha		Fresh IA
5	Company Petition IB/166/95/202 4 (CP listed on 10.12.2026)	Main Case (RP Appointed)	CP Admitted on 20.03.2025	95 of IBC	Omkara Assets Reconstruction Pvt Ltd in the matter of GS Megha Constructions Pvt Ltd Vs Mrs. Kamala Gagadam	Mr. Bhavya Mohan	Kanak Jain RP	
		IVN P (IBC) 15/2026	To permit the intervention of this instant applicant in the CP 166/95/2024		Mr.R. Gopalappa @ R. Gopal Vs M/s. Omkara Assets Reconstruction Pvt Ltd-R1; G.S. Megha Constructions Pvt Ltd-R2; Kamala Gagadam-R3	Mr.G.V.B. Santosh Kumar		Fresh IA
6	Company Petition 50/241/2025	Main Case	For filing vakalt/counter	U/S 241 of Companies Act	Rami Reddy Pothireddy VS Balaji Conventions and Resorts Pvt Ltd	Mr. Vikram Puttapaga		

		IA (CA) 138/2026	To set aside the ex-parte order dt. 21.7.2026 passed by this Hon'ble Tribunal in CP, insofar as it sets the Applicant/Respondent 1 ex-parte and permit the applicant to file the counter	U/r 49 & 11 of NCLT Rules	Balaji Conventions and Resorts Pvt Ltd Vs Ramireddy Pothireddy-R1; Srikanth Reddy Kaila-R2; Suresh Goud Routh-R3; Spandana Reddy Kaila-R4	Mr. S. Sahil Reddy			Fresh IA
		IA (CA) 139/2026	To set aside the ex-parte order dt. 21.7.2026 passed by this Hon'ble Tribunal in CP, insofar as it sets the Applicant/Respondent 3 ex-parte and permit the applicant to file the counter of R4.	U/r 49 & 11 of NCLT Rules	Spandana Reddy Kaila Vs Ramireddy Pothireddy - R1; Balaji Conventions and Resorts Pvt Ltd-R2; Suresh Goud Routh-R3; Srikanth Reddy Kaila-R4	Mr. S. Sahil Reddy			Fresh IA
		IA (CA) 140/2026	To set aside the ex-parte order dt. 21.7.2026 passed by this Hon'ble Tribunal in CP, insofar as it sets the Applicant/Respondent 3 ex-parte and permit the applicant to file the counter	U/r 49 & 11 of NCLT Rules	Srikanth Reddy Kaila Vs Ramireddy Pothireddy-R1; Balaji Conventions and Resorts Pvt Ltd - R2; Suresh Goud Routh-R3, Spandana Reddy Kaila-R4	Mr. S. Sahil Reddy			Fresh IA
7	CA 28/252/HDB/2026 (Listed for 09.10.2026)	Main Case	For filing POS	252 of CA 2013	MANTHENA RAJENDRA PRASAD VARMA Vs RoC	P. Pratap N. Srikar D. Tharun Goud			
		IA (CA) 146/2026	To advance the date of hearing of CA No. 28/252/2026 from 09.10.2026 to 07.09.2026 or any other earlier date convenient to this Hon'ble Tribunal	U/r 11 & 13 of NCLT Rules 2026	MANTHENA RAJENDRA PRASAD VARMA Vs RoC	P. Pratap & others			Fresh IA
Ordinary List									
8	Company Petition IB/28/2022	Main Case	Resolution Plan Approved	7 of IBC	Assets Care Reconstruction Enterprise Ltd Vs IVRCL Chegapalli Tollways Ltd	Mr. Avinash Desai	Smt.Vedula Chitralekha		
		IA (IBC)/835/2023	To issue necessary instructions to the respondents in respect of transactions set out in the instant application u/s 66 of IBC	u/s 66 (1) r/w 25 (2)	Monitoring Committee of IVRCL Chegapalli Tollways Ltd Vs Ramachandran Sitaraman	Mr. P. Mohith Reddy	V.B. Raju R1, R2 & R3 G. Bupesh r4,R6,R8,R9 P. Anil Mukerji for R7		

9	CP (IB) 296/7/2022	Main case	Resolution Plan Approved on 26.03.2025	7 of IBC	Catalyst Trusteeship Limited Vs Manjeera Retail Holdings Pvt Ltd	Sanjay Kishore	K. Manoj Reddy	Mr. Birendra Kumar Agarwal	
		IA (IBC)/648/ 2024	To Declare Transactions as mentioned in the Petition as Fraudulent transaction as per the provisions of Section 66 of the IBC	u/s 66 r/w 60 (5) & rule 11	Lulu International Shopping Malls Private Limited, Rep. its Director Mr. Nishad M.A Vs Mrs. Pampati Veena	Pradeep Joy	Y. Suryanarayana R1,R2,R7,R8&R 9 Dishit Bhattacharjee R3 P. Ravi Charan R4 G. Kalyan Chakravarthy R5 Sachin Sharma R6		
		IA (IBC)/641/ 2024	To declare Transaction No. 1, as detailed in Para 7.1.1, a preferential transaction under Section 43 of the I&B Code, 2016, and under Section 44, direct Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to pay Rs. 74,73,774/- to the Corporate Debtor.	u/s 43 r/w sec 44 r/w rule 11	Lulu International Shopping Malls Private Limited, Rep. its Director Mr. Nishad M.A Vs Manjeera Constructions Ltd	Pradeep Joy	Y. Suryanarayana, R2,R3& R4		
		IA (IBC)/ 1000/ 2025	To direct Respondent No. 1 to provide the Applicant, its employees/agents, and the Advocate Commissioner uninterrupted access to the Corporate Debtor's office at #711, Manjeera Trinity Corporate, Hyderabad, including access to its computers, servers and records, and to hand over the items listed in Para 4.15, in the presence of the Advocate Commissioner and Respondent No. 2/erstwhile Resolution Professional.	u/s 60 (5) r/w Rule 11 & r/w Reg 37	Mr. Nishad (Director) for Manjeera Retail Holdings Pvt Ltd VS Mr. Gajjala Yoganand & anr	Mr. Pradeep Joy, Ld. Counsel for the Applicant. Mr. Chidambara Sastry, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent No.1.			
		Contempt Petition (IBC)/03/ 2025	To hold Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 guilty of contempt for wilful disobedience of the order dated 22.08.2024 passed in I.A. No. 1999 of 2023. I.A. No. 1999 was filed by the RP against the suspended director for withdrawing Rs. 19,00,000/- from the Corporate Debtor's bank account after commencement of CIRP. This Tribunal directed to remit the said amount to the Corporate Debtor's bank a/c.	u/s 60(5) r/w Rule 11	MANJEERA RETAIL HOLDINGS PRIVATE LIMITED Rep.by Mr. Nishad M.A VS G. Yoganand & 2 ors	VVSN Raju	Mr. Chidambara Sastry		

		Contempt A (IBC)/01/2025	To declare that the Respondent has wilfully disobeyed the order dated 18.11.2024 in I.A. No. 373 of 2024, and punish him for contempt. IA 373 was regarding the consolidation of CIRP of MCL and MRHP. which was dismissed on 18.11.2024 with direction to deposit Rs. 5,00,000/- in Bharatkosh	u/s 425	The Committee of Creditors Vs Gajjala Yoganand Suspended Board of Directors	Sanjay Kishore	Mr. Chidambara Sastry		
		IA(IBC)/ 905/2024	To declare that R1 fraudulently obtained the MSME/Udyam Registration Certificate in the name of the CD through misrepresentation, in contravention of the IBC, and direct R2/IBBI to take appropriate action against the Respondents under Sections 72, 73 of IBC	u/s 73 r/w u/s 60 (5) Rule 11 of NCLT	MANJEERA RETAIL HOLDINGS PRIVATE LIMITED: Rep.by its Director Mr. Nishad M.A Vs G. Yoganand & ors	Pradeep Joy	Mr. Chidambara Sastry		
		IA(IBC) 1280/2026	To direct R1 to hand over possession of unit No 28 situated on the second floor of Manjeer Mall to the applicant and execute and register all necessary deeds, documents and instruments required to give complete effect to the order dt. 13.02.2025 and other directions	u/s 60(5) r/w Rule 11	Mr. M.V.M. Mohan Rao Vs M/s. Lulu International Shopping Malls Pvt Ltd & Another	Pawan Jhabakh and Kruthi Kalaga			
10	Company Petition IB/84/9/ 2025	Main Case	Default Amount: 2,08,81,964.1 Date of default: 21-10-2023	U/S 9 of IBC	RK Steel Udyog Pvt Ltd Vs 360 Life Sustainable Luxury Homes LLP	Unam Sravan Kun	P. KASI NAGESHWAR RAO		
11	Company Petition IB/146/9/2025	Main Case	Operational Debt: Rs. 2,04,90,391	U/S 9 of IBC	VISAKHA PHARMACY LIMITED VS INNOVATE LABS PRIVATE	Kopal Sharraf	Mr. Naresh Kumar Sangam		
12	Company Petition IB/186/9/2025	Main Case	Operational Debt: Rs. 1,39,35,002	U/S 9 of IBC	Sri Sai Srinivasa Constructions Vs C5 Infra Pvt Ltd	V. Appa Rao	Mr. I.V. Srikrishna Raya		
13	Company Petition IB/104/9/2026	Main Case	Operational Debt: Rs. 1,83,94,793	U/S 9 of IBC	Dr. K.V. Srinivas Vs Sree Lakshmi Gayatri Hospitals Pvt Ltd	G. Bhupesh			
14	Company Petition IB/46/95/2024	Main Case (RP Appointed)	Default amount : Rs. 13,37,58,025.29 Ps, Date of default:26.07.2022.	u/s 95 of IBC	Indian Bank in the matter of Pravista Infra Pvt Ltd Vs Mr. Varadha Rajeshwar Rao	Rajashekar Rao Salvaji	Venkata Prasad P	Chinnam Poorna Chandra Rao, RP	

15	Company Petition IB/48/95/2024	Main Case (RP Appointed)	Default amount : Rs. 13,37,58,025.29 Ps, Date of default:26.07.2022.	u/s 95 of IBC	Indian Bank in the matter of Pravista Infra Pvt Ltd Vs Mr. P Dayakar Rao	Rajashekar Rao Salvaji	Venkata Prasad P	Chinnam Poorna Chandra Rao, RP	
16	Company Petition IB/64/95/2024	Main Case (RP Appointed)	Default amount : Rs. 13,37,58,025.29 Ps, Date of default:26.07.2022.	95 of IBC	Indian Bank in the matter of Pravista Infra Pvt Ltd Vs Mr. P. Narotham Rao	Raja Shekar Rao Salvaji	Venkata Prasad P	Ms. Suhasini Ashok B	
17	Company Petition/34/24 1/2024	Main Case	Pleadings Completed For hearing	u/s 241 of Companies Act	Arvind Kumar Raghuvanshi Vs Hi In Concepts Pvt Ltd & 2 others	Prem Kumar Pothina	Shaik Gouse for R1,R2&R3		
		IA (CA)/105/ 2025	To declare the entire procedure and the resolution dated 11.07.2016, authorised by Respondent No. 2 for the adoption of a new set of Articles of Association of Respondent No. 1 Company, as illegal and void, on the ground of fraud committed by Respondents No. 2 and 3.	u/rule 11 &32	Arvind Kumar Raghuvanshi Vs Hi In Concepts Pvt Ltd & 2 others	Prem Kumar Pothina			
		IA (CA) No.68/2025	To direct the parties to arbitration under Section 8 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, pursuant to Articles 67 and 68 of the Articles of Association dated 28.10.2010, which provide for disputes between the members and the Company, or among the members themselves, to be referred to arbitration.	Rule 11	Arvind Kumar Raghuvanshi Vs Hi In Concepts Pvt Ltd & 2 others	Prem Kumar Pothina	L.VENKATESH WAR RAO		
		IA (CA)/341/ 2024	To restrain the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 and any other member of the Board of Directors of Respondent No.1 Company from passing any resolutions in the proposed Board Meeting dated 01.12.2024 or in any subsequent meetings until the disposal of the present petition.	Rule 11	Arvind Kumar Raghuvanshi Vs Hi In Concepts Pvt Ltd & 2 others	Prem Kumar Pothina	L.VENKATESH WAR RAO		
		IA (CA)/342/ 2024	To appoint an Independent Administrator to manage Respondent No. 1 Company and a Forensic Auditor to investigate the alleged fraudulent financial acts of the Respondents and statutory Auditor.	Rule 11	Arvind Kumar Raghuvanshi Vs Hi In Concepts Pvt Ltd & 2 others	Prem Kumar Pothina			

18	Company Petition/58/24 1/2024	Main Case	To declare and order that the affairs of R1 Company have been carried by R2 in a manner that is oppressive and that the affairs of R1 Company also have been mismanaged in terms of Sections 241 read with Section 242 of the Companies Act, 2013.	u/s 241 of Companies, Act	Mr. Valluri Srinivas VS Hitha Design Science Pvt Ltd	Mr. Shaik Gouse	Prem Kumar Pothina R2 KEESARA PRITHVI REDDY R3		
		IA (CA)/77/ 2025	To refer/direct the parties to arbitration under Section 8 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, in view of Article 23 of the Memorandum of Association dated 20.01.1998.	Rule 11	Arvind Kumar Raghuvanshi Advocate Premkumar pothina VS Valluri Srinivas	Prem Kumar Pothina			
		IA (CA)/356/ 2024	To pass order restraining R2 and R3 to deal with any of the Clients/ Customers/ Consultants of R 1 Company.	u/Rule 11	Mr. Valluri Srinivas VS Hitha Design Science Pvt Ltd	Mr. Shaik Gouse			
19	Company Petition /60/241/ 2024	Main Case	Pleadings completed For hearing	u/s 241 of Companies Act	Mr. Arvind Kumar Raghuvanshi Vs Hitha Desigh Science Pvt Ltd	Mr. Prem Kumar Pothina	J. Raghu R1,2&3		
		IA (CA) No.50/2025	To set aside the share transfer from Respondent No. 2 to Respondent No. 3 as illegal and to restrain Respondents No. 2 and 3 from making any future share transfers in violation of the AoA, thereby protecting shareholders' rights and the Company's shareholding structure.	Rule 11	Mr. Arvind Kumar Raghuvanshi Vs Hitha Desigh Science Pvt Ltd	Mr. Prem Kumar Pothina	J. Raghu R1,2&3		
		IA (CA) No.64/2025	Praying to refer the parties to arbitration under Section 8 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, in accordance with Article 23 of the Respondent No. 1 Company's Memorandum of Association dated 20.01.1998.	Rule 11	Mr. Arvind Kumar Raghuvanshi Vs Hitha Desigh Science Pvt Ltd	Mr. Prem Kumar Pothina	L. Venkateshwara Rao		

		IA (CA) No.385/ 2024	To appoint an Independent Administrator to manage Respondent No. 1 Company, and to conduct a Forensic Audit along with the recovery of the losses caused by Respondents No. 2-8, with 18% interest.	u/Rule 11	Mr. Arvind Kumar Raghuvanshi Vs Hitha Desigh Science Pvt Ltd	Mr. Prem Kumar Pothina	L. Venkateshwara Rao		
20	CA 30/252/2026		Direct the Respondent to restore the name of the Company in register of Companies under Section 252(3) of the Companies Act, 2013. Direct the Respondent to place the company, directors and all other persons in the same position as nearly as may be as if the name of the company had not been struck off from the register of companies.	U/s 252 of CA	Pitchi Reddy Bhimavarapu Vs RoC-R1; M/s. Indkey Infra Projects Pvt Ltd-R2	Leela Prasad Mallela			

NOTE:

1. Although efforts have been made to give accurate information, possibility of an inadvertent error, if any, cannot be ruled out & is regretted.
2. In case of any query, update etc. regarding any matter the same may be communicated to registrar-hyd@nclt.gov.in
3. Any follow-up may be done with the Court Officer, Assistant Registrar or Deputy Registrar.

Sd/-
Mr. Kalanidhi Sanjiv,
Assistant Registrar
NCLT, Hyderabad Bench