



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI
PRINCIPAL BENCH**

Hearing Through : VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

Cause List No.1

1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : **25179097308**

2. **VC Link <https://ncltdelhi.webex.com/meet/courtofficerpb-nd>**

3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.

DAY : FRIDAY

DATE: 10.11.2023

TIME: 10:30 AM

4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.

5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in), otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.

6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.

7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.

8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.

9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.

10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.

11. Parties/Counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.

12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.

CORAM: 1. JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR, HON'BLE PRESIDENT
2. SH. AVINASH K. SRIVASTAVA, HON'BLE MEMBER (T)

S. No.	CP. No.	IA/CA No.	Purpose	Section	Name of Parties	Name of Counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of Counsel for Respondent	Name of IRP/RP / Liquidator/ MP	Remarks
--------	---------	-----------	---------	---------	-----------------	--	--------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------

ORDINARY LIST :

1	(IB)-104(PB)/2017	Main Case	Res. Plan approved on 04.01.2020	U/s. 7 of (IBC) CIRP	Bank of India Vs. Tirupati Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd.	Abhishek Anand		Anil Kohli (MP)	
		CA-01/2018	Direction by NCLAT	u/s. 60(5) of IBC	RP of Tirupati Vs. HBN Dairies & allied Ltd & Ors.	Abhishek Anand			
		IA-3347/2022	For seeking appropriate directions	Sect 66	Anil Kohli RP For Tirupati Infraprojects Pvt Limited Vs. Mr. Amandeep Singh Sran	Abhishek Anand			
		IA-3614/2022	For seeking appropriate directions	u/s. 60(5) of IBC	Anil Kohli RP For Tirupati Infraprojects Pvt Limited Vs. Mr. Amandeep Singh Sran	Abhishek Anand			
		IA-4496/2022	For seeking appropriate directions	u/s. 60(5) of IBC	Anil Kohli R P For Tirupati Infraproject Limited Vs. L & L Hospitality And Aviation Pvt Ltd	Abhishek Anand			
		IA-5375/2022	For seeking appropriate directions	u/s. 68 of IBC	Anil Kohli Resolution Professional For Tirupati Infraprojects Pvt Limited Vs. Amandeep Singh Sran	Abhishek Anand			
		Cont. P (IBC)-28/2022	For seeking appropriate directions	Sect 425	Anil Kohli Resolution Professional For Tirupati Infraprojects Pvt Limited Vs. Amandeep Singh Sran	Abhishek Anand			
		IA-685/2023	For seeking appropriate directions	Sect 66	Anil Kohli Resolution Professional For Tirupati Infraprojects Pvt Limited Vs. Amandeep Singh Sran	Abhishek Anand			
		IA-1090/2023	For seeking appropriate directions	u/s. 60(5) of IBC	Anil Kohli RP For Tirupati Infraprojects Pvt Limited Vs. Audra Consultants (India) Private Limited	Abhishek Anand			
		IA-3450/2023	For seeking appropriate directions	u/s. 60(5) of IBC	Rohit Sehgal Vs. Anil Kohli RP of Tirupati Infraprojects Private Limited	Shashwat Anand			
		IA-2475/2023	For seeking appropriate directions	u/s. 60(5) of IBC	Anil Kohli Resolution Professional For Tirupati Infraprojects Pvt Limited Vs. Amandeep Singh Sran	Abhishek Anand			
		IA-4370/2023	For seeking appropriate directions	u/s. 60(5) of IBC	Anil Kohli Vs L & L Hospitality And Aviation Pvt. Ltd.	Gaurav Tyagi			
		IA-5058/2023	For seeking appropriate directions	u/s. 60(5) of IBC	Anil Kohli Resolution Professional Vs. HBN Dairies And Allied Ltd. Through Its Liquidator Mr. Rohit Sehgal	Abhishek Anand			

Note: Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List the possibility of an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and regretted, if any.

Copy to:-



- 2 Registrar, NCLT
- 3 Secretary, NCLT
- 4 NCLT Website (www.nclt.gov.in).

Assistant Registrar (In- Charge)



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CHENNAI
SPECIAL BENCH (Court I)**

Hearing Through: VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

Cause List No. 2

1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : 25179097308 (ID of respective Bench)

2. VC Link <https://ncltdelhi.webex.com/meet/courtofficerpb-nd>

DAY : Friday

DATE: 10.11.2023

3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.

TIME: After Cause List No. 1

4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.

5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in) , otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.

6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.

7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.

8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.

9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.

10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.

11. Parties/ counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.

12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.

**CORAM: JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR, HON'BLE PRESIDENT
HON'BLE SHRI. AVINASH K. SRIVASTAVA, HON'BLE MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

S No	CP/CA No	IA/MA No	Section	Name of Parties	Name of counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of counsel for Respondent	Name of IRP/ RP/ (Liquidator)	Remarks
THE FOLLOWING MATTERS WILL BE HEARD THROUGH - VIDEO CONFERENCING								
ORDINARY LIST								
1	CP/255/2020	-	Sec 59 of CA, 2013	Amrin Rizwana VS Ramu Annamalai Ramasamy & others	S. Sathiyarayanan	Gautam Bharadwaj		

		IA/69/CHE/2023	Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Ramu Annamalai Ramasamy & others Vs Amrin Rizwana	Gautam Bharadwaj			
2	CP/256/2020	-	Sec 59 of CA, 2013	Usman Faheed VS Ramu Annamalai Ramasamy & Others	S. Sathiyarayanan	Gautam Bharadwaj		
		IA/67/CHE/2023	Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Ramu Annamalai Ramasamy & Others Vs Usman Faheed	Gautam Bharadwaj			
3	CA/576/2020	-	Sec 59 of CA, 2013	Usman Faheed & Others VS Ramu Annamalai Ramasamy & 2 Others	S. Sathiyarayanan	Gautam Bharadwaj		
		IA/68/CHE/2023	Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Ramu Annamalai Ramasamy & 2 Others Vs Usman Faheed & Others	Gautam Bharadwaj			
-Sd- (M Rajendran) Court Officer								



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI
PRINCIPAL BENCH**

Hearing Through : VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

Cause List No.3

1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : **25179097308**

2. **VC Link <https://ncltdelhi.webex.com/meet/courtofficerpbn-d>**

3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.

DAY : FRIDAY

DATE: 10.11.2023

TIME: After Cause List No. 2

5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in), otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.

6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.

7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.

8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.

9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.

10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.

11. Parties/Counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.

12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.

PRESENT : JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR, HON'BLE PRESIDENT

101	TA (Co. Act)-06(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Gurmeet Singh Chugh Vs. V N Electronics Private Limited	Rakhi Ray			
102	TA (IBC)-45(PB)/2022		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	State Bank of India Vs. Shakuntala Devi	Sanjeev Kapoor			
103	TA (Co. Act)-04(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Manimegalai Unnikrishnan Vs. JSM Aggregates And Sands Private Limited	Rishabh Tripathi			
104	TA (Co. Act)-05(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Vineet Kamal Kedia Vs. Ramniranjan Kedia Rent A Car Privatelimited	Sumit Kumar Batra			
105	TA (Co. Act)-35(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Bhushan Information Technologies Private Limited Vs. BSN Enterprises Private Limited	Nalini			
106	TA (IBC)-39(PB)/2022		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	De Souza Leisures Private Limited Vs. Mypreferred Transformation And Hospitality Private Limited	Raghav Sharma			
107	TA (IBC)-05(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Bank of Maharashtra Vs. Sunita Bansal	Namit Suri			
108	TA (IBC)-06(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Bank of Maharashtra Vs. Mywish Yogesh Shah	Namit Suri			
109	TA (IBC)-07(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Bank of Maharashtra Vs. Gaurav Bansal	Namit Suri			
110	TA (IBC)-16(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Supreme Innovative Building Projects Private Limited Vs. Srei Equipment Finance Limited	Anuj Tiwari			
111	TA (IBC)-17(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Supreme Star Villa Private Limited Vs. Srei Equipment Finance Limited	Anuj Tiwari			
112	TA (IBC)-19(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Supreme Infrastructure India Limited Vs. Srei Infrastructure India Limited	Anuj Tiwari			
113	TA (IBC)-38(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Axis Trustee Services Limited Vs. Future Ideas Company Limited	Kartik Nagarkatti			
114	TA (IBC)-39(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Axis Trustee Services Limited Vs. Rivaaz Trade Ventures Private Limited	Kartik Nagarkatti			
115	TA (IBC)-40(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Axis Trustee Services Limited Vs. Nufuture Digital (India) Limited	Kartik Nagarkatti			
116	TA (IBC)-41(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Axis Trustee Services Limited Vs. Rivaaz Trade Ventures Private Limited	Kartik Nagarkatti			

117	TA (Co. Act)-39(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Dr. Yadagiri R. Pendri & Anr. Vs. Escientia Biopharma Private Limited & Others	Shree Sinha			
118	TA (Co. Act)-40(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Ishwar Industries Ltd Vs. Madhu Bhaskar	Sarwar Raza			
119	TA (IBC)-48(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Jitender Kumar Jain Liquidator For Aaj Ka Anand Papers Limited Vs. Kwaliti Catering Services & Ors.	Pooja M Saigal			
120	TA (IBC)-49(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	A-One Realtors Pvt Ltd Vs. Energy Efficiency Services Limited	Mohit Chaudhary			
121	TA (IBC)-34(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	State Bank of India Vs. M/s Century Communication Ltd.	Sarim Khan			
		New IA-5947/2023	Place on amended memo of parties	Sec 60(5)	Vivek Raheja Vs. M/s Century Communication Ltd.	Sarim Khan			
122	TA (Co. Act)-12(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Anil Kumar Garg Vs. M/s Queens Granite Pvt Ltd	Vipul Ganda			
123	TA (Co. Act)-13(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Anil Kumar Garg Vs. Sukh Sagar Buildcon Pvt. Ltd. And Ors.	Vipul Ganda			
124	TA (Co. Act)-24(PB)/2023		Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Mr. Nishant Narendrakumar Singhal Vs. Deegee Infracon Private Limited	Amit Agarwal			

SUPPLEMENTARY LIST :

125	TA (Co. Act)-43(PB)/2023		New Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016 & Rule 11 of NCLT	Anant Prakash Sinha Vs. Maurya Management Private Limited	Nilanjan Chatterjee			
126	TA (Co. Act)-44(PB)/2023		New Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	LMCS Infra Holdings Private Limited	Kamna Singh			
127	TA (Co. Act)-45(PB)/2023		New Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Ajay Kishore Merchant & Ors. Vs. Damos Trading Company Private Limited	Arpan Behl			
128	TA (IBC)-51(PB)/2023		New Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Finquest Financial Solutions Pvt. Ltd. Vs. IDBI Bank Limited Through Its Authorized Representative & Ors.	Milan Singh Negi			
129	TA (IBC)-52(PB)/2023		New Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Gujarat Ambuja Exports Limited Vs. Suryansh Merchandise India Limited	Honey Satpal			
130	TA (IBC)-53(PB)/2023		New Application filed by applicant for seeking appropriate directions	Rule 16(d) NCLT , 2016	Gujarat Ambuja Exports Limited Vs. Suryansh Merchandise India Limited	Honey Satpal			

Note: Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List the possibility of an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and regretted, if any.

Copy to:-

- 1 Notice Board.
- 2 Registrar, NCLT
- 3 Secretary, NCLT
- 4 NCLT Website (www.nclt.gov.in).



Nirmala Vincent
Assistant Registrar (In- Charge)



NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CHENNAI
SPECIAL BENCH (Court I)

Hearing Through: VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

Cause List No. 4

1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : **2517 371 8726 (ID of respective Bench)**

2. VC Link <https://ncltchennai.webex.com/meet/chennainclt1>

DAY : Friday

DATE: 10.11.2023

TIME: After Cause List No. 3

3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.

4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.

5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in) , otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.

6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.

7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.

8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.

9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.

10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.

11. Parties/ counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.

12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.

CORAM: JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR, HON'BLE PRESIDENT
HON'BLE SHRI. VENKATARAMAN SUBRAMANIAM, MEMBER (TECHNICAL)

S No	CP/CA No	IA/MA No	Section	Name of Parties	Name of counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of counsel for Respondent	Name of IRP/ RP/ (Liquidator)	Remarks
ALL THE FOLLOWING MATTERS WILL BE HEARD THROUGH - PHYSICAL HEARING								
1	CA/1/IB/2017	-	Sec 9 Rule 6 of IBC, 2016	Alcon Laboratories(India) Pvt Ltd Vs Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd				

IA/574(CHE)/2023 in IA/1088/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/576(CHE)/2023 in IA/1012/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/607(CHE)/2023 in IA/997/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/572(CHE)/2023 in IA/1208/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/605(CHE)/2023 in IA/1027/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/564(CHE)/2023 in IA/1303/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	
IA/577(CHE)/2023 in IA/799/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Central Board of Trustee, Employees provident Fund (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar	

IA/603(CHE)/2023 in IA/1011/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Central Board of Trustee, Employees provident Fund (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar		S Rajendran (RP)	
IA/565(CHE)/2023 in IA/1214/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
IA/606(CHE)/2023 in IA/222/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
IA/573(CHE)/2023 in IA/1024/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
IA/604(CHE)/2023 in IA/1028/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (EPFO) and Another	V V Sivakumar			
IA/1028(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
IA/222(CHE)/2023	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
IA/1088(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
IA/997(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC,2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Vs (RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			

CA/1/IB/2017	IA/1011(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Regional provident Fund Commissioner-I Vs (RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan		S Rajendran (RP)	
	IA/1012(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Vs (RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1214(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M.S Viswanathan			
	IA/1024(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1027(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan			
	IA/1208(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viwanathan			
CA/1/IB/2017	IA/1303(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs RP of Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M S Viswanathan		S Rajendran (Liquidator)	
	IA/799(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Central Board of Trustees, Employees Provident Fund, Regional Provident Fund commissioner-II, Employees Provident Fund Organisation Vs RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	M.S Viswanathan			
	IA/214(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd -Vs- Vasan Employees Welfare Trust & 2 Ors	Savitha Devi			
	IA/269(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5)(B) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	S. Rajendran, RP of M/s. Vasan Health Care Pvt. Ltd. Vs Vasan Doctor Welfare Trust & 2 Ors	Savitha Devi			
	IA/296(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 & 60(5) of IBC, 2016	C Sailaja Vs (RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	Sandeep Kumar Ambalavanan			
	IA/26(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 & 60(5) of IBC	RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd -Vs- Meera Arun & 4 Others	Savitha Devi	Manjula Devi		

		IA/215(CHE)/2022	Sec 66& 60(5) of IBC, 2016	RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd -Vs- Vasan Doctors Welfare Trust & 2 Ors	Savitha Devi			
		IA/270(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 & 60(5) of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd Vs Vasan Employees Welfare Trust & Others	Savitha Devi			
		IA/271(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 & 60(5) of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd Vs Vasan Dental Hospitals Pvt Ltd & Others	Savitha Devi			
		IA/272(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 & 60(5) of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd Vs Muthusamy Sugavana Swaminathan & Others	Savitha Devi			
	CA/1/IB/2017	IA/273(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 & 60(5) of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd Vs Meena Arun & Others	Savitha Devi		S Rajendran (Liquidator)	
		IA/274(CHE)/2022	Sec 66 & 60(5) of IBC, 2016	(RP) of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd Vs Vijaykumar Dwarakanathan & Others	Savitha Devi			
		IA/1571(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Reg 36A(7)(g) of IBBI Reg 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	RP of Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd Vs Maxivision Eye Hopitals Pvt Ltd	Savitha			
		IA(IBC)/1062(CHE)2023	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd Vs Maxivision Eye Hospitals Pvt Ltd & other	V V Sivakumar			
		IA/209(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd -Vs- Maxivision Eye Hospitals Pvt Ltd	Savitha Devi			
		IA/314(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016	M/s Maxivision Eye Hospitals Pvt Ltd -Vs- RP of M/s Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd	AK Mysamy Associates	Savitha Devi		
		IA/868(CHE)/2022	Sec 60(5) of IBC, 2016 R/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Maxivision Eye Hospitals Pvt Ltd Vs RP of M/s Vasan Healthcare Pvt Ltd	A K Mysamy			
2	CP/563/IB/2017	IA/251(CHE)/2023	Sec 45 & 48 of IBC, 2016	Liquidator of M/s Oceanic Edibles International Ltd Vs Joseph Raj (Suspended Director) M/s Oceanic Edibles International Ltd	Ramalingam Associates		P R Raman	

	CE/505/1B/2017	IA/254(CHE)/2023	Sec 66 of IBC, 2016	Liquidator of M/s Oceanic Edibles International Ltd Vs Joseph Raj (Suspended Director) M/s Oceanic Edibles International Ltd	Ramalingam Associates		(Liquidator)	
3	CP/258/2020	-	Sec 241(1) of CA, 2013	Balasubramaniam Sriram Vs Ms Ocean Lifespaces India Pvt Ltd And 6 Others	Naveen Kumar	K Jayasudha R2,		
		IA/734/2020	Sec 241/244 of CA, 2013	Balasubramaniam Sriram VS Ocean Lifespaces India pvt Ltd & 6 others	Deepak Narayanan	K Jayasudha R2, N.P. Vijayakumar R3&R4		
4	CP(CA)/141(CHE)/2021	-	Sec 59, 241-242 of CA, 2013	Krithiga Sadeeshkumar -Vs Sensiple Software Solutions Pvt Ltd & 2 Others	Jayanth Viswanathan	Cibi Vishnu-R1 Vikram V -R2 Akhil Bhansali-R3		
5	CP/138/CHE/2021	-	Sec 241,242 of CA 2013 R/w Sec 59 & Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	T R Dhinakaran & 2 Others VS Shri Ramalinga Mills Pvt Ltd & 5 Others	K Gowtham Kumar			
		MA(CA)/25/CHE/2021	Rule11 and 13 of NCLT Rules 2016	T R Dhinakaran & 2 Others VS Shri Ramalinga Mills Pvt Ltd & 5 Others	K Gowtham Kumar			
6	CP/18CHE/2022	-	Sec 213,241-242 & 59 of CA, 2016	T R Dhinakaran & Another Vs Aruppukottai Mari Chemicals Pvt Ltd & 6 others	K Gowtham Kumar	Srinivasan R2,R3 & R4		
7	CP/19(CHE)/2022	-	Sec 213, 241-242 of CA, 2013	T.R Dhinkaran & Another -Vs- Muhavai Automobiles Pvt Ltd & Others	Gowtham Kumar			
8	CP/35(CHE)/2022	-	Sec 213, 241-242 of CA, 2013	T.R Dhinakaran & 2 Others -Vs- M/s Ramalinga Exports Pvt Ltd & 6 Others	K Gowtham Kumar	AR Ramanathan (R2,3,4))		
9	CP/85(CHE)/2023	-	Sec 241, 242, 244 of CA, 2016	SheetalaCredit Holdings Pvt Ltd & 5 Others Vs Binny Mills Ltd and Others	Anant merathia			
10	CP/1409(CHE)/2019	-	Sec 61(1(b) of CA, 2016 R/w Rule 71 of NCLT Rule, 2016	Simpson & Company Ltd	A R Ramanathan		-	
		MA(CA)/99(CHE)/2021	Sec 61&66 of CA. 2013 R/w Rule 11 & 14 of NCLT Rules 2016	Simpson & Company Ltd	A R Ramanathan		-	

11	CP/161(CHE)/2021	-	Sec 59 241-242 of CA, 2013	R Geetha & Others -Vs- M/s ARRS Megamall Pvt Ltd & Others	Lenin J			
		MA/26(CHE)/2022	Sec 241-242 of CA, 2013	R Geetha & Others -Vs- ARRS Megamall Pvt Ltd & Ors	Lenin J			
-Sd- (M Rajendran) Court Officer								
Although all efforts have made to give accurate information in the CauseList, the possibility of an inadvertent error if any, cannot be ruled out and regretted.								



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH
COURT HALL No: II**

Cause list No.5

Hearing Through: VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : **25161160428**

2. VC Link <https://nclothyd.webex.com/meet/assistant-hyd>

3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.

4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.

5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in) , otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.

6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.

7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.

8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.

9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.

10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.

11. Parties/ counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.

12. Note: Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.

CORAM: 1. JUSTICE RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR , HON'BLE PRESIDENT
2. SHRI. CHARAN SINGH, HON'BLE MEMBER TECHNICAL

Sl. No.	CP/TP No./ CA No.	CA/IA No.	Purpose	Section / Rule	Name of Parties	Name of Counsel for Petitioner / Applicant	Name of Counsel for Respondent	Name of (1) IRP / (2) RP / (3) Liquidator / (4) MP	Remarks
Ordinary List									
1	CP No.01/2009 TP(PB) No. 79/397-398/HDB/2017		For hearing	388B, 397/398	Union of India Vs Satyam Computer Services Ltd & Others	& T Sujan Kumar Reddy, Central Govt Counsel	Amir Bavani for R2 & R3 Ashish Kabra R4 Sindhu Kumari Counsel - R6 & R7 Kaveri Srivastava – R5&R8-R10 T. Vijayakumar Reddy for R-11&R12 Naresh Kumar Sangam R13		

2	CP No.3/2009 TP (PB) No.77/397-398/HDB/2017		For hearing	388, 397/ 398 408	Union of India Vs Maytas Infra Limited & Others	T Sujan Kumar Reddy, Central Govt Counsel	G. Bhupesh for R-1 Amir Bavani R2& R3 Sanjay Kishore R5,7&14 Y Suryanarayana for R- 6 Rusheek Reddy for R8		
3	CP No.4/2009 TP (PB) No.78/397-398/HDB/2017		For hearing	388, 397/398	Union of India Vs Maytas Properties Limited	T Sujan Kumar Reddy, Central Govt Counsel	S Nagesh Reddy & G Bhupesh for R-1 Amir Bavani R2,R3& R4 Rusheek Reddy R5		

Sd/-
K B Kumar
Deputy Registrar
NCLT, Hyderabad Bench

Although efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List, possibility of an inadvertent error, if any, cannot be ruled out and is regretted.
In case of any query, update etc. regarding any matter the same may be communicated to registrar-hyd@nclt.gov.in
Any follow-up may be done with the Court Officer, Assistant Registrar or Deputy Registrar.