

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CAUSE LIST
AHMEDABAD SPECIAL BENCH
COURT ROOM NO. 2**

Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : 1585071234

VC Link <https://ncltahmedabad-062.my.webex.com/meet/court2>

DATE:27.04.2022

CORAM: HON'BLE Dr.DEEPTI MUKESH, MEMBER JUDICIAL
HON'BLE Mr KAUSHALENDRA KUMAR SINGH, MEMBER TECHNICAL


All Practitioners / Parties who filed cases prior to 2021 are requested to upload their respective documents
in E-portal making it available for perusal of the bench at least one week before the next date of hearing.
All Practitioner/Parties are advised to mark their attendance in the chat box.

Sr.	CP/CA/IA/MA No.	CA/IA No.	Purpose	Section	Name of the Parties	Name of Counsel for Petitioner/ Applicant	Name of Counsel for Respondent	Name of (1) IRP/(2) RP/(3) liquidator	Remarks
Ordinary									
	CP(IB) 127 of 2017			7 IBC	Asset Reconstruction Company (India) Ltd V/s Neesa Leisure Ltd	Thakkar and Pahwa, Adv Dharmesh J Gurjar, Adv Mayank R Chavda, Adv	Ravish Bhatt, Adv		
301		IA/770(AHM)2021	Further Consideration	60(5) IBC, 2016	Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd V/s Koutsey Hospitality LLP & Ors	Nandish Chudgar Adv			Next Date: 09-05-2022
302		IA/801(AHM)2021	Further Consideration	60(5) IBC	Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd V/s Information TV Pvt Ltd & Ors	Nandish Chudgar Adv	Jainish Shah Adv		Next Date: 09-05-2022
303		IA 28 of 2020	Further Consideration	60(5) IBC, 2016	Neesa Leisure Ltd V/s Jaipur Development Authority	Nandish Chudgar Adv	Aditya J Pandya Adv		Next Date: 09-05-2022
304		IA 239 of 2020	Further Consideration	60(5) IBC, 2016	Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd V/s Rajasthan State Industrial and Investment Corporation	Nandish Y Chudgar Adv	Pavan S Godiawala Adv		Next Date: 09-05-2022
305		IA 633 of 2020	Further Consideration	60(5) IBC, 2016	Rajasthan State Industrial and Investment Corporation Ltd V/s Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd & Anr	Pavan S Godiawala Adv	Nandish Chudgar Adv		Next Date: 09-05-2022
306		IA/721(AHM)2021	Further Consideration	60(5) IBC	Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd V/s Rajasthan State Industrial & Investment	Nandish Chudgar Adv			Next Date: 09-05-2022

h

307		IA 415 of 2020	Further Consideration	60(2) & 60(5) IBC, 2016 & Rule 11 of NCLT rules, 2016	Ome Prakash Verma V/s Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd & Anr	Uday Bedi Adv Jaitegan Singh Khurana Adv			Next Date: 09-05-2022
308		IA/94(AHM)2021	Further Consideration	60(5) IBC	Inder Kumar Bawta & Ors. V/s Amit Jain RP for Neesa Leisure Ltd & Anr.	Jaimin Dave Adv	Nandish Chudgar Adv		Next Date: 09-05-2022
309		IA/205(AHM)2022	Further Consideration	60(5) IBC	Vijay Kumar Garg HUF & Ors V/s Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd & Anr	Atul Sharma Adv Arjun Padhiyar Adv			Next Date: 09-05-2022
310		IA 455 of 2020	Further Consideration	43,45,66 & 60(5) IBC, 2016	Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd V/s Sanjay Gupta & Ors	Nandish Chudgar Adv	Garvit Khandelwal Adv Santosh Thakur Adv Aspi Kapadia Adv Jainish Shah Adv Mandeep Singh Saluja Adv		Next Date: 09-05-2022

- 1 Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the cause list, the possibility an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and is regretted, if any
- 2 Concerned Practitioners/Parties are requested to verify from the notice board the list (AHM) cases having defect/under objection and accordingly remove the defect so as to enable the bench to register the cases.
- 3 All lawyers/pr(AHM)essionals/parties are requested to make sure that IAs/MAs are filed at least five days prior to the next date of hearing of the main matter, so as to enable the Registry to tag the application with the main matter after getting such application duly sanitized.
- 4 It is further requested to indicate in bold letters the next date of hearing (AHM) the main matter on the IA/MA.
- 5 Matters for urgent hearing may be mentioned before the Bench.
- 6 All annexures/Copies and documents filed along with petition/ application/ appeals etc. must be duly certified by the authorised representative or advocate filing the petition/ application/ appeal as duly verified from the originals, as per Rule 23(2) (AHM) NCLT Rules, 2016.
- 7 All Practitioners are requested to represent their parties only by one Advocate, who is holding the Vakalatnama or Senior Counsel in order to avoid confusion & congestion in Virtual Hearing.


 26-4-22
MAHENDRA P PARMAR
 DY. REGISTRAR
 NCLT