



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
AHMEDABAD BENCH
COURT ROOM NO.1**

Hearing Through: VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : **1581566074**

2. **VC Link** <https://ncltahmedabad.my.webex.com/meet/court1>

3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.

4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle.

5. While joining hearing through VC, Counsels/ parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in) , otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.

6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.

7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.

8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.

9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the Judgments / Citations sought to be relied upon.

10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco webex with item No. and for whom they are appearing.

11. Parties/ counsels appearing physically should make attendance in The Register maintained in the court for the purpose.

12. Note : Hybrid mode in the present context means court assisted hybrid hearings in case one party is physically present and the other party is appearing through Video Conference. This will continue till proper hybrid system is set up for which consultation is in progress with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and other stakeholders.

DAY:THURSDAY

DATE: 05.06.2025

TIME: 02:00 PM

CORAM: HON'BLE Mr. SHAMMI KHAN, MEMBER JUDICIAL

Sr.	CP/CA/IA/MA No.	CA/IA No.	Purpose	Section/Rule	Name of the Parties	Name of Counsel for Petitioner/ Applicant	Name of Counsel for Respondent	Name of (1) IRP/(2) RP/(3) liquidator	Remarks
1	Appeal No.01 of 2025			Appeal under Rule 63 of NCLT Rules,2016	India SME Asset Reconstruction Company Limited V/s Anand Cotspin Limited	BNP LEGAL			

Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the cause list, the possibility of an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and is regretted, if any