



NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CHANDIGARH BENCH
Court -1

Hearing Through : Hybrid Mode

1. Cisco Webex Video Conference ID: 25109257745
2. VC Link <https://ncltchd.webex.com/meet/registrar-chd>
3. Following matters are listed before the Bench for hearing through Hybrid Mode.
4. In case joining through video conferencing, parties shall join through Laptop and not through Mobile and not while in a Vehicle
5. While Joining hearing through VC, Counsels/parties have to write the Item No. along with their names (in the Username Box while logging in), otherwise joining the hearing through VC may not be permitted.
6. Parties are requested to test the Audio & Video Quality of their system before hearing and switch on their camera only at the time of appearance.
7. Parties shall keep their Audio muted & Video closed till their matter is called out.
8. Parties should be ready with requisite infrastructure to share the documents on-line during the hearing.
9. Parties should be ready to share on-line, the judgments/Citations sought to be relied upon.
10. Appearance should be shared during the hearing in the Chat Box in the Cisco WebEx with item No. and for whom they are appearing.
11. Parties/counsels appearing physically should make attendance in the Register maintained in the court for the purpose.

DAY:	Friday
DATE:	04.04.2025
TIME:	10.30 AM

P.T.O for Cause List Court -1

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CHANDIGARH BENCH
Daily Cause List
Court 1**

**Date: 04.04.2025 (Friday)
Time: 10.30 am**

**Coram: 1. Hon'ble Mr. Harnam Singh Thakur, Member (Judicial)
2. Hon'ble Mr. Shishir Agarwal, Member (Technical)**

Cisco Webex Video Conference ID: 25109257745, VC Link <https://ncltchd.webex.com/meet/registrar-chd>

S.No	CP. No.	CA/IA No.	Section/ Rule	Purpose	Name of the Parties	Name of the counsel for Petitioner/Applicant	Name of the counsel for Respondent	Name of (1) IRP (2) RP/ (3) liquidator / (4) MP	Remarks
Supplementary List									
1.	CP No. 19/Chd/Hry/2025		241 & 242, CA 2013	Admission	Kanchan Verma Vs Aegiscovenant Pvt. Ltd.	Mr. Rishav Ranjan,			
2.	CA(CAA) No. 3/Chd/Hry/2025 (1 st Motion)		230-232, CA 2013	Admission Consideration	Browntape Technologies Pvt Ltd And Ginni Systems Ltd.	Mr. Sharad Tyagi,			
3.	CA (CAA) No. 21/Chd/Hry/2024 (1 st Motion) (Disposed of)		230-232, CA 2013		Just4kids Services Pvt Ltd With Honasa Consumer Ltd.				
		COMP.APPL/217 (CH)2024	Rule 11 of NCLT	Consideration	-do-	Mr. Deepak Suri, Mr. K. V Singhal, Mr. Sanjeev Jain,			
4.	CP (CAA) No. 45/Chd/Hry/2024 (2 nd Motion)		230-232 of CA 2013	Consideration	Just4Kids Services Pvt. Ltd With Honasa Consumer Ltd.	Mr. Sanjeev Jain Mr. K.V Singhal, Mr. Deepak Suri,	Mr. Krishan Paul Dutt Mr. Yogesh Putney,		
5.	CP No. 32/Chd/Pb/2022		252, CA 2013	Consideration	M N S Logistics Pvt. Ltd. Vs ROC, Punjab & Chandigarh	Mr. Mast Ram Chechi Mr. Ranvijay Singh,	Mr. Edward Augustine George,		
6.	CP No. 51/Chd/Hry/2024		66, CA 2013	Consideration Service	AECOM India Pvt. Ltd	Mr. Vaibhav Sharma Ms. Salina Chalana,			
Priority List									
101.	CA(CAA) No. 22/Chd/Hry/2023 (1 st Motion)		230-232, CA 2013	Further Consideration	Jindal Timber & Plywood Pvt. Ltd. And Jindal Ply (India) Private Limited	Ms. Gulrukh Kaur Sidhu,			
102.	CA (CAA) No. 55/Chd/Pb/2023 (1 st Motion)		230(1)(a) CA 2013	Consideration	Om Yarn Plus Pvt. Ltd. Vs Punjab National Bank, Authorised officer,	Mr. Vaibhav Sahni, Mr. Karan Nehra,			
		COMP.APPL/185 (CH)2024	R 11 NCLT	Consideration	-do-	Mr. R.S Bhatia Mr. H.S. Bhatia,			
103.	CA(CAA) No. 15/Chd/Hry/2024 (1 st Motion)		Sec. 230-232,	Consideration Report	Kapo Footwears Private Limited And Aditi Footstep Pvt. Ltd.	Mr. Kartikeya Goel Mr. Rajiv K Goel,			

104.	CP No. 44/Chd/J&K/2022		Sec 252 (3)	Consideration	Technikon Constructions and Industries Pvt Ltd Vs Registrar of companies Jammu & Kashmir	Mr. Karanveer Jindal,	Mr. Yogesh Putney,		
105.	CP No. 45/Chd/Hry/2022		252(3), CA 2013	Consideration Report	Aman Sarin Vs ROC, NCT of Delhi & Haryana & Ors	Mr. Sushil Aggarwal,	Mr. Varun Issar,		
106.	CP No. 46/Chd/Hry/2022		252(3), CA 2013	Consideration Report	Aman Sarin Vs ROC, NCT of Delhi & Haryana & Ors	Mr. Sushil Aggarwal,	Mr. Varun Issar,		
107.	CP No. 47/Chd/Hry/2022		252(3), CA 2013	Consideration Report	Amit Sarin Vs ROC, NCT of Delhi & Haryana & ors	Mr. Sushil Aggarwal,	Mr. Varun Issar,		
108.	CP No. 48/Chd/Hry/2022		252(3), CA 2013	Consideration Report	Aman Sarin Vs ROC, NCT of Delhi & Haryana & Ors	Mr. Sushil Aggarwal,	Mr. Varun Issar,		
109.	CP No. 53/Chd/Hry/2022		252(3), CA 2013	Report/ Response	Samphire Food & Pharma (Pvt.) Ltd.	Mr. Chahit Bansal,	Mr. Yogesh Putney,		
110.	Company Appeal No. 4/Chd/Hry/2023		252(1), CA 2013	Consideration Report	Cocoteros Hospitality Pvt. Ltd Vs ROC, Delhi	Mr. Abhishek Aggarwal,	Mr. Varun Issar		
111.	CP No. 40/Chd/Chd/2024		252 (3), CA 2013	Service/Report	Income Tax Officer Ward 5(5) Chandigarh VS Registrar of Companies Punjab and Chandigarh	Mr. Yogesh Putney			
112.	CP No. 41/Chd/Pb/2024		252 (3), CA 2013	Service/Report	Income Tax Officer Ward 5(5) Chandigarh VS Registrar of Companies Punjab and Chandigarh	Mr. Yogesh Putney			
113.	CP No. 42/Chd/Chd/2024		252 (3), CA 2013	Service/Report	Income Tax Officer Ward 5(5) Chandigarh VS Registrar of Companies Punjab and Chandigarh	Mr. Yogesh Putney			
114.	CP No. 43/Chd/Chd/2024		252 (3), CA 2013	Service/Report	Income Tax Officer Ward 5(5) Chandigarh VS Registrar of Companies Punjab and Chandigarh	Mr. Yogesh Putney			
115.	CP No. 44/Chd/Chd/2024		252 (3), CA 2013	Service/Report	Income Tax Officer Ward 5(5) Chandigarh VS Registrar of Companies Punjab and Chandigarh	Mr. Yogesh Putney			

116.	CP No. 30/Chd/Hry/2018		Sec. 58(3)Sec. 241(1)Sec. 242(4)Sec	Reply/Rejoinder	KLM Pipes Pvt. Ltd. Vs Hissar Pipes pvt. Ltd.	Mr. Anurag Jain,	Ms. Swati Vashisth,		
		COMP.APPL/108(CH) 2020	Sec 433(e)/433(f) of CA 1956	Arguments	KLM Pipes Pvt. Ltd. Vs Hissar pipes Pvt. Ltd.	Ms. Swati Vashisth,	Mr. Anurag Jain,		
117.	CP No. 31/Chd/Hry/2018		Sec. 59Sec. 241(1)Sec. 242(4)	Consideration Service/Report	Satish G. Hiremath Vs Sun - N - Shade Holding Pvt. Ltd.	Sujay Pramod Joshi			
118.	CP No. 134/Chd/Chd/2018		272, CA 2013 (Maintainability)	Consideration (SWS)	Pankaj Sahgal Vs City Emporium Pvt. Ltd. (In Liquidation)	Mr. Vaibhav Sahni,			
119.	CP No. 186/Chd/Chd/2018		241 to 244 CA 2013	Arguments	Kamikar Singh Pannu & Anr. Vs. Rangi Builders Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.	Mr. Anil Kumar Aggarwal,	Mr. Sankalp Sagar, Mr. Parthik Choudhary		
		CA No. 125/22	R 15, & 53 NCLT	Arguments	Mr. Gurbir Singh Pannu Vs Rangi builders pvt. Ltd.	Anil K Aggarwal	Mr. Sankalp Sagar,		
120.	CP No. 210/Chd/Chd/2018		Sec. 59Sec. 213Sec. 242(4)Sec 241 (2)	Consideration Service Report	Lalit kumar Vs B.I. Electricals limited	Atul V Sood	Nahush Jain		
		COMP.APPL/260(CH)2022	R 49 NCLT	Consideration	Balwant Kumari Vs Lalit Kumar	Paras Dawar	Ms. Savita Bhandari,		
121.	CP No. 139/Chd/Pb/2020		Sec. 130(1)Sec. 213	Consideration	Anil Kumar Vs M/S Umesh Developers Pvt Ltd	Priyanka Sud	Mr. Mast Ram Chechi,		
		COMP.APPL/65(CH) 2025	Rule 11 of NCLT	Withdrawal of CP	-do-	Priyanka Sud			
122.	CP No. 22/Chd/Pb/2022		169, CA 2013	Rejoinder/ hearing	Krishna Krishna Jewellers and Investments Pvt. Ltd. Vs ROC, Punjab & Chandigarh	Mr. Mast Ram Chechi,	Mr. Piyush Khanna,		
123.	CP No. 23/Chd/Hry/2022		97, CA 2013	Consideration	Sh. Sunny Pratap Singh Vs ROC, Delhi & Haryana	Mr. Manan Batra, Viren Sharma,	Mr. Shyam Sunder, ROC		
124.	CP No. 24/Chd/Pb/2022		241, 242 & 213, CA 2013	Arguments	Kirandeep Kaur Jernail Singh Vs Harkiran Singha	Ms. Ashima Mandla	Mr. Piyush Khanna,		
		COMP.APPL/ 135 (CH)2022	Rule 11 of NCLT	Arguments	Kirandeep Kaur Jernail Singh Vs M/s Polish Expert	Nitesh Singla			
		COMP.APPL/37(CH) 2024	Rule 11 of NCLT	Consideration	Pearl Pannu VS Kirandeep Kaur Jernail Singh	Dhananjay Grover			

m

125.	CP No. 27/Chd/J&K/2022		59, CA 2013	Reply/ Rejoinder	Imtiyaz Ahmad Dar & Anr Vs Golden Tuli Hospitalities Pvt. Ltd & Ors	Mr. Arora Vishwas Kumar,	Mr. Mayank Mathur,		
126.	CP No. 28/Chd/Hry/2022		241, 242, 244 & 246, CA 2013	Consideration Short Note	Sanjay Kumar Vs Sun Square Power Pvt. Ltd. & Ors	Mr. Dhananjay Pundir,	Mr. Arora Vishwas Kumar		
127.	CP No. 11/Chd/Hry/2023		Sec. 241(1)Sec. 242(4)Sec. 244(1)	Consideration Reply	Yash Tandon Vs Acube Food Experts Pvt Ltd	Vipul Goel	Mr. Surinder Kumar Darri,		
128.	CP No. 3/Chd/Chd/2024		Sec. 59 Sec. 213	Service/Reply	Arnav Grover Vs KLG Hotels Private Limited	Mr. Raghav Kapoor Mr. Atul Sood			
		CONT.A./2(CH) 2024	Sec 425	Consideration	-do	Mr. Raghav Kapoor Mr. Atul Sood			
		COMP.APPL/ 29(CH) 2024	Rule 11 of NCLT	Consideration	-do	Raghav Kapoor			
		COMP.APPL/ 125 (CH) 2024	Rule 11 of NCLT	Consideration	Aman Grover VS Arnav Grover	Nahush Jain			
129.	CP No. 11/Chd/Hry/2024		131, CA 2013	Service/Report	I2r Consulting Pvt. Ltd Vs Ministry of Corporate Affairs	Mr. Rattan Lal			
130.	CP No. 13/Chd/Hry/2024		Sec. 241(1) Sec. 242(4)	Arguments	Anshika Mittal Vs Inayat Healthcare Pvt Ltd	Ms. Mandeep Gujral	Mr. Nahush Jain, Mr. Mast Ram Chechi, Mr. Shubham Kumar, Mr. Navrang Saini,		
		COMP.APPL/86 (CH) 2024	Rule 11 of NCLT	Reply/rejoinder	Vishwajeet Gupta VS Anshika Mittal	Nahush Jain	Mr. Girish Madan,		
		COMP.APPL/ 113(CH)2024	Rule 11 of NCLT	Reply/rejoinder	Surinder Babbar VS Anshika Mittal	Karanveer Jindal			
131.	CP No. 35/Chd/Chd/2024		Sec. 241(1) Sec. 242(4)	Consideration Reply/Rejoinder	Apanpreet singh Vs Super Filtration Systems (India) Pvt. Ltd	Mr. Vikas Bali	Mr. G.S Sarin,		
		COMP.APPL/159 (CH)2024	Sec. 244(1)	Consideration	-do-	Mr. Vikas Bali,			
132.	CP No. 47/Chd/Pb/2024		131, CA 2013	Service/Report	Rishi Ganga Enterprises Ltd	Mr. Shubham Gupta,			

R Kaur 24/2025
(Rattan Kaur)
Deputy Registrar

Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List, the possibility of an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and regretted, if any.

P.T.O for Notice



**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CHANDIGARH BENCH
CORPORATE BHAWAN, PLOT NO. 4-B
GROUND FLOOR, SECTOR- 27-B, MADHYA MARG
CHANDIGARH-160019
Phone no.: 0172-2639422**

No. NCLT/CHD/Misc

Dated: 02.04.2025

Notice

It is informed to all concerned that NCLT, Principal Bench has issued an SOP dated 19.03.2025 on Rule 28 and 63 of NCLT Rules, 2016 with draft templates for improvising the e-filing portal of NCLT.

The SOP will come into effect from 04.04.2025 and all filings done from 04.04.2025 will be governed by the new SOP. Copy of SOP dated 19.03.2025 is attached herewith for ready reference, please.



02.04.25

**(PK Tiwari)
Designated Registrar**

**All the notice boards of the Bench & Bar
Cause lists of both the Courts.**

**PSs to Hon'ble Members- for kind information of the Hon'ble Members
Registrar NCLT, New Delhi- for kind information.**

Annexure-1



National Company Law Tribunal

Block No.3, 6th floor, C.G.O. Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003

Date: 19.03.2025

Fresh Matter filed:-

1. A Party filing any Petition/Application/Documents in the e-filing portal of NCLT will be provided with a filing/diary number.
2. The Petition/Application/Documents so filed, will be scrutinized by the Registry and will be registered if it is free of from any defect.

1st Defect Notice Issued: -

3. However, if there are defects in the Petition/Application/Documents, then the same will be intimated to the party concerned vide **Defect Notice-1** through registered E-mail id/User login. **(Template-1)**
4. A party is required to cure the defects intimated by **Defect Notice-1**, within 7 days from the date of Defect Notice-1 and refile.
5. Consequent to **Defect Notice-1**, if the Petition/Application/Documents so refiled is free from all defects, then the matter will be registered.

Matter refiled with Defects: -

6. If in the Petition/Application/Documents refiled consequent to **Defect Notice-1**, same defects/new defects are present, then the Petition/Application/Documents will be forwarded to the Registrar under Rule 28 (2) of NCLT Rules 2016.
7. The party concerned may make a request to cure the defects to the Registrar and the Registrar may on the request of the applicant or otherwise, give 07 days' time to the party to refile, under Rule 28 (3) of NCLT Rules 2016, **vide Registrar Order. (Template-2.1)**
8. If the Party fails to refile within 07 days from the date of **Registrar Order (Template 2.1)** or upon refiling same defects are present/new defects found, then no further opportunity shall be given by the Registrar and the Registrar would decline to register the Petition/Application/Documents, under Rule 28 (4) of NCLT Rules 2016. **(Template-3.1)**

Matter Not refiled after defect notice 1: -

9. If a party fails to refile within 7 days from the date of **Defect Notice-1 (T-1)**, user will not be allowed to refile and the matter will be forwarded to the Registrar for appropriate orders. On receipt of same from the registry, the registrar may give 7 days' time under rule 28(3) of NCLT



Rules 2016 vide registrar order (template 2.1) to party to remove the defects and refile the Petition/Application/Documents.

10. If the Party fails to refile within 07 days from the date of **Registrar Order (Template 2.1)** or upon refiling same defects are present/new defects found, then no further opportunity shall be given by the Registrar and the Registrar would decline to register the Petition/Application/Documents, under Rule 28 (4) of NCLT Rules 2016. **(Template-3.1)**

11. Any Party aggrieved by the Order of the Registrar, may prefer an appeal under Rule 63 of NCLT Rules, 2016 within 15 days from the date of Order, before the designated Appellate Authority.



T1-1st defect Notice



NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL

National Company Law Tribunal
6th Floor, Block-3,
CGO Complex, Lodhi Road,
New Delhi- 110003

Date and Time of intimation: 01/01/2024

Filing No.: 07102024
Case type: Company Petition (Companies Act)
Section: Sec. 241(1)
Case title: ABC Vs XYZ
Notice Ref. No: 01/2024

**SCRUTINY SECTION
DEFECT NOTICE 1**

As per Rule 28 (2) of the NCLT Rules, 2016, your Petition/Application/Document has been scrutinized on 01/01/2024 and is found defective on the following counts and returned for compliance:

Mode of Intimation: Registered E-mail ID/NCLT E-Filing Portal

Sr. no.	Defects
1.	Wrong Section quoted in Application.
2.	Bookmarking and OCR is missing in Application.
3.	Some of the pages in the Application are not clear. Please replace it with clear copy.
4.	Petition/Application/Reply has not been signed at the foot of each page by all the Petitioner/Applicant and their name is not mentioned.

You will have 7 days time to remove the defects and refile the Petition/Application/Document under Rule 28(2) of the NCLT Rules, 2016.

In case the defects are not rectified or new defects found or the Petition/Application/Document is not refiled within the time specified, the file will be consigned to the Registrar for further steps/process as per the rules.

Deputy Registrar

Scrutiny Section



NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL

National Company Law
Tribunal 6th Floor,
Block-3,
CGO Complex, Lodhi
Road, New Delhi-
110003

Date and Time of intimation: 15/01/2024

Filing No.: 07102024
Case type: Company Petition (Companies Act)
Section: Sec. 241(1)
Case title: ABC VS XYZ
Notice Ref. No: 03/2024

REGISTRAR PROCEEDINGS

Under Rule 28 (3) of NCLT Rules, 2016

As per Rule 28 (3) of NCLT Rules, 2016 your Petition/Application/Document has been received from the Scrutiny section on account of non-compliance at your end.

In terms of the Notice No. – 01/2024, dated 01/01/2024, the defects qua your petition were notified / brought to your notice and you were given time to remove the defects. It is reported that the defects have not been removed within the prescribed timeline and/or additional defects are found. Since the defects have not been cured, your case file is returned along with this notice.

You are permitted to remove the defects and refile the Petition/Application/Documents within a period of 7 days.

Mode of Intimation: Registered E-mail ID/NCLT E-Filing Portal

Sr. no.	Defects
1.	Wrong Section quoted in Application.
2.	Bookmarking and OCR is missing in Application.
3.	Some of the pages in the Application are not clear. Please replace it with clear copy.
4.	Petition/Application/Reply has not been signed at the foot of each page by all the Petitioner/Applicant and their name is not mentioned.

If the defects as above are not removed and the Petition/Application/Document is not filed free of defects within the period of 7 days from the date of this notice, proceedings in terms of Rule 28 (4) of NCLT Rules, 2016, will follow.

Registrar

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL

National Company Law
Tribunal 6th Floor,
Block-3,
CGO Complex, Lodhi
Road, New Delhi-
110003

Date and Time of intimation: 28/01/2024

Filing No.: 07102024

Case type: Company Petition IB (IBC)

Section: IBC Under Sec 10

Case title: XYZ Vs ABC

REGISTRAR ORDER UNDER RULE 28(4)

In terms of the Notice No. 01/2024 dated 01/01/2024, the defects qua your Petition/Application/Document were notified/brought to your notice and you were given time to remove the defects within time specified. As you failed to refile the Petition/Application/Document free from all defects, within time specified, the matter was referred to the undersigned and notice No.03/2024 dated 15/01/2024 was issued to you giving you an opportunity to remove the defects within 7 days, in terms of Rule 28 (3) of NCLT Rules, 2016.

It is found that you have failed to cure the following defects/new defects found qua Petition/ Application/ Documents.

Sr. no.	Defects
1.	Wrong Section quoted in Application.
2.	Bookmarking and OCR is missing in Application.
3.	Some of the pages in the Application are not clear. Please replace it with clear copy.
4.	Petition/Application/Reply has not been signed at the foot of each page by all the Petitioner/Applicant and their name is not mentioned.

Thus, in terms of Rule 28 (4) of the NCLT Rules, 2016, on your failure to cure the defects pointed in the notice dated 15/01/2024/new defects found, I decline to register your Petition/Application/Document.

If you are aggrieved you may file an Appeal within 15 days as per the Rule 63 of NCLT Rules, 2016, before the designated Appellate Authority of National Company Law Tribunal.

Registrar