


Join Video Conferencing meeting the following link		
Court 1	https://ncltahmedabad.my.webex.com/meet/court1	
Court 2.	https://ncltahmedabad-062.my.webex.com/meet/court2	
INDORE	https://ncltahmedabad.my.webex.com/meet/indore	
The Advocate/Party, is requested to write his/her serial Number and name properly in the Video Conferencing software. No advocate shall be permitted in Video Conferencing without proper/blank name.		
For Desktop/Laptop Guest User		



Click on webex meet App

1. Mention the sr. no before your Name.

2. Enter your E Mail ID

3. Paste the Link in Join Box and click on Join button

For Mobile Guest user

Sync and join meetings directly from your watch.



1. Click on Join Meeting

2. Paste the Link in Join Box .

3. Mention your Sr. No with name

4. Enter your E Mail ID

5. Click on Join Button

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CAUSE LIST
AHMEDABAD BENCH
COURT ROOM NO. 2**

Cisco Webex Video Conference ID : 1585071234

VC Link <https://ncltahmedabad-062.my.webex.com/meet/court2>

DATE:03.02.2022

Time: 03:15 PM
(Hearing Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM:
HON'BLE Dr.DEEPTI MUKESH, MEMBER JUDICIAL
HON'BLE Mr AJAI DAS MEHROTRA, MEMBER TECHNICAL

**As per the office order dated 09.01.2022 only urgent listed matters shall be heard
Parties are directed to file the Interlocutory Application for urgent listing.**

All Practitioners / Parties who filed cases prior to 2021 are requested to upload their respective documents
in E-portal making it available for perusal of the bench at least one week before the next date of hearing.
All Practitioner/Parties are adviced to mark their attendance in the chat box.

Sr.	CP/CA/IA/MA No.	CA/IA No.	Purpose	Section	Name of the Parties	Name of Counsel for Petitioner/ Applicant	Name of Counsel for Respondent	Name of (1) IRP/(2) RP/(3) liquidator	Remarks
<u>Supplementary</u>									
1	CP(IB)/30(AHM)2022		New Application	9 IBC	Holzwood Industries Pvt Ltd V/s Bohra Fashions Pvt Ltd	Alkesh Jalan PCS			
2	CP(IB)/28(AHM)2022		New Application	95(1) r.w Section 60(2) of IBC, 2016	Mr Anil Ratilal Patel Proprietor of Alteem Industries V/s Mr Sachin Kumar Devendrakumar Panchal Personal guarantor of S K Englmech Pvt Ltd	Sovil jain Adv Sakshi Jain Adv Nirdesh Gupta Adv			
3	CP(IB) 128 of 2017			7 IBC	Bank of Baroda V/s Varia Aluminium Pvt Ltd	Natasha Shah Adv			
		IA/93(AHM)2022	New Application	60(5) IBC, 2016	Ramchandra D Choudhary Liquidator of Varia Aluminium Pvt. Ltd V/s Himanshu Prafulchandra Varia & Anr	Atul Sharma Adv Arjun Padhiyar Adv			

4	CP(IB) 271 of 2019				7 IBC	Acrow Construction Pvt Ltd & Ors V/s K G Corporation Ltd	Tirth Nayak Adv Ankit M Talsania Adv	Harmish K Shah Adv Dhruvit D Chauhan Adv		
		IA/97(AHM)2022	New Application	Section 49(2) r.w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016 r.w section 60(5) IBC, 2016		Acrow Construction Pvt Ltd & Ors V/s Punjab National Bank & Ors	Parth S Shah Adv			
Ordinary										
101	C.P.(IB)/35(AHM)2021		For Further Consideration	7 IBC		Dhruv Mahendrakumar Shah & Ors Tatvam Infracon Pvt Ltd	Nilu Vaidankar Adv Kamlesh Vaidankar Adv			
102	CP(IB)/130(AHM)2021		For Further Consideration	7 IBC		State Bank of India V/s Yogiraj Ginning & Oil Industries	Rituraj Meena Adv			
103	C.P(IB)/68(AHM)2021		For Further Consideration	9 IBC		Jushi India Fiberglass Pvt Ltd V/s Balaji Fiber Reinforce Pvt Ltd	Jignesh Ajit Ganatra PCA	Jaimin R Dave Adv		
104	CP(IB) 127 of 2017			7 IBC		Asset Reconstruction Company (India) Ltd V/s Neesa Leisure Ltd	Thakkar and Pahwa, Adv Dharmesh J Gurjar, Adv Mayank R Chavda, Adv	Ravish Bhatt, Adv		
		IA/98(AHM)2022	New Application	60(5) IBC, 2016 r.w rule 11 NCLT, 2016		COC of Neesa Leisure Ltd Through R R Mahapatra of Assets Care & Reconstruction Enterprise Ltd V/s Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd	Pratik Thakkar Adv			
		IA/102(AHM)2022	New Application	60(5) IBC		Vijay Patel & Ors V/s Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd & Anr	Bomi H Sethna Adv			
		IA/101(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	60(5) IBC, 2016		Sanjit Khapekar V/s Amit Jain RP for Neesa Leisure Ltd.	Shivam Parikh Adv Garvit Khandelwal Adv			


IA/94(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	60(5) IBC	Inder Kumar Bawta & Ors. V/s Amit Jain RP for Neesa Leisure Ltd & Anr.	Jaimin Dave Adv	Nandish Chudgar Adv
IA 415 of 2020	For Further Consideration	60(2) & 60(5) IBC, 2016 & Rule 11 of NCLT rules, 2016	Ome Prakash Verma V/s Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd & Anr	Uday Bedi Adv Jaitegan Singh Khurana Adv	
IA 805 of 2020	For Further Consideration	60(5) IBC	Sankalp IN Through its Partner Mr. Kailash Ramavat Goenka V/s Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd & Anr	Ritesh D Patadia Adv Kunal P Vaishnav Adv	Nandish Chudgar Adv Shivam D Parikh Adv
IA 851 of 2020	For Further Consideration	31 r.w 30(6) IBC	Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd V/s Member of COC of Neesa Leisure Ltd & Anr	Nandish Chudgar Adv	Nanavati Associates Pratik Thakkar Adv Delshad A Kapadia Adv Garvit Khandelwal Adv Shivam Parikh Adv
IA/23(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	60(5)(c) r.w rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016	Kundan Care Products Ltd V/s Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd & Anr	GNS Legal LLP	Nandish Chudgar Adv
IA/154(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	60(5) IBC r.w 30 IBBI r.w Rule 11 of NCLT rules, 2016	GSEC Ltd V/s Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd & Ors	PCA Kiran Shah	Nanavati Associates
IA/117(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	60(5) IBC r.w 11 of NCLT rules, 2016	Kundan Care Products Ltd V/s Amit Jain RP For Neesa Leisure Ltd	Prithu Garg Adv Harimohana N Adv	Nandish Chudgar Adv
IA/167(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	60(5) IBC r.w rule 11 of NCLT, 2016	Sankalp IN A Partnership Firm Through Its Partner Kailash Goenka V/s Amit Jain RP For Neesa Leisure	Bhavesh Choksi Adv	
IA/432(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	60(5) IBC Rule 11 NCLT, 2016	JP Iscon Pvt Ltd V/s Amit Jain RP of Neesa Leisure Ltd & Anr.	Masoom K. Shah Adv	
		7 IBC	Indian Overseas Bank V/s AMW Motors Ltd	Economic Laws Practice Adv	Nanavati & Associates Adv

106	CP(IB) 185 of 2018	IA/560(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	60(5)(a) & © IBC, 2016	Mayur Doshi Suspended Director of AMW Motors Ltd. & Anr. V/s CoC of AMW Motors Ltd. & Anr.	Nanavati & Nanavati	Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas
				7 IBC	Indian Overseas Bank V/s AMW AutoComponent Ltd	Economic Laws Practice Adv	Nanavati & Associates Adv
107	CP(IB) 473 of 2018	IA/501(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	60(5) IBC, 2016	Babubhai Shrimali V/s The Committee Of Creditors	Nanavati & Nanavati	
				9 IBC	SVM Cera Pvt Ltd V/s Gangotri Glazed Tiles Pvt Ltd	Killol Vinod Shelat, Adv Shyam Killol Shelat, Adv	
		IA/535(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	43 & 66 IBC, 2016	Keyur Jagdishbhai Shah Liquidator of Gangotri Glazed Tiles Pvt Ltd V/s Arvindkumar Chandulal Patel & Ors.	Noopur K. Dalal Adv	
		IA/723(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	IA for Direction	State Tax Officer (3) V/s Keyur J Shah Liquidator of Gangotri Glazed Tiles Pvt Ltd	Pranav Trivedi Adv	
108	CP(IB) 148 of 2019			9 IBC	Devsaria Iron & Steel Co Pvt Ltd V/s Perfect Boring Pvt Ltd	CA Akanksha	Harmish K Shah Adv
		IA/551(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	60(5) IBC, 2016 r.w Rule 11 of NCLT, 2016	Perfect Boring Pvt Ltd & Anr. V/s Manish Kumar Bhagat & Ors.	Jaimin R. Dave Adv	Arjun Sheth Adv Amiraj Barot Adv Priyanka Barot Adv
109	CP(IB) 844 of 2019			10 IBC	Alcock Ashdown(Gujarat) Ltd	Ankit M Talsania Adv Tirth Nayak Adv Noopur K Dalal Adv Vishal P Thakker Adv	Nimisha Sharma Adv Rutvik H Modi Adv

110	CP(IB) 20 of 2020	IA/88(AHM)2022	New Application	Sec 12 r.w Section 40C of CIRP r.w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules,2016	Trupal J Patel RP of Alcock Ashdown (Gujarat) Limited	Kunal P Vaishnav Adv	
		IA/497(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	60(5) IBC, 2016 Rule 11 of NCLT, 2016	Unicorn Dock & Port Eng Pvt Ltd. V/s Trupalkumar J. Patel RP of Alcock Ashdown(Gujarat) Ltd	Jaimin R. Dave Adv	
				9 IBC	Continental Piling & Excavation Pvt Ltd V/s Anwesha Engineering & Projects Ltd	Namrata J Shah Adv Jay Shah Adv Jaimin Dave Adv	Monaal J Davawala Adv
		IA/606(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	60(5) IBC,2016	Anuj Bajpal RP of Anwesha Engineering & Projects Ltd V/s Aditya Birla Finance Ltd	Rahul Gaikwad Adv	R & R Associates
111	CP(IB) 140 of 2020			7 IBC	OXYZO Financial Services Pvt Ltd V/s P.Praful & Company Agency (India) Pvt Ltd	Jaydeep Mehta Adv Deepa Kulkarni Adv Umang Mittal Adv	
		IA/724(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	Sec 60(5) of the IBC,2016 and Rule 11 of the NCLT Rules ,2016	Navjit Singh RP of P. Praful & Company Agency Pvt Ltd	Navjit Singh RP	
112	CP(CAA)/35(AHM)2021 In CA(CAA)72 of 2020		For Further Consideration	230-232 Co.Act, 2013	APL Institute of Clinical Laboratory & Resarch Pvt Ltd	Nachiket Dave Adv L & L Partners Law Offices	
113	CP 69 of 2017			241-244	Bharat P Raut & Ors V/s Madhu Silica Pvt Ltd & Ors	Nachiket A Dave Adv	Swati Soparkar Adv
		IA 786 of 2020	For Further Consideration	IA For Directions	Madhu Silica Pvt Ltd & Ors V/s Bharat P Raut & Ors	Swati Soparkar Adv	Nachiket A Dave Adv

114	CP/26(AHM)2021	For Further Consideration	270 r.w 271,272 & 273 of Co. Act 2013	Tarjitsingh Bakhtawarsingh Batth V/s Ratan Wood Pvt Ltd & Ors.	Kunjai Dalal PCS	Sharvil P. Majmudar Adv Arpit Singhvi Adv
Clarification						
201	IA/498(AHM)2021 in CP(IB) 332 of 2020	For Clarification	33(1)(b) & 34(1) IBC, 2016	Keyur J. Shah RP of Gokul Ceramic Pvt Ltd	Noopur K. Dalal Adv	

- 1 Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the cause list, the possibility an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and is regretted, if any
- 2 Concerned Practitioners/Parties are requested to verify from the notice board the list (AHM) cases having defect/under objection and accordingly remove the defect so as to enable the bench to register the cases.
- 3 All lawyers/pr(AHM)essionals/parties are requested to make sure that IAs/MAs are filed at least five days prior to the next date (AHM) hearing (AHM) the main matter, so as to enable the Registry to tag the application with the main matter after getting such application duly sanitized.
- 4 It is further requested to indicate in bold letters the next date (AHM) hearing (AHM) the main matter on the IA/MA.
- 5 Matters for urgent hearing may be mentioned before the Bench.
- 6 All annexures/Copies and documents filed along with petition/ application/ appeals etc. must be duly certified by the authorised representative or advocate filing the petition/ application/ appeal as duly verified from the originals, as per Rule 23(2) (AHM) NCLT Rules, 2016.
- 7 All Practitioners are requested to represent their parties only by one Advocate, who is holding the Vakalatnama or Senior Counsel in order to avoid confusion & congestion in Virtual Hearing.


 2.2.22
MAHENDRA P PARMAR
 DY. REGISTRAR
 NCLT