

IN THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
AHMEDABAD
COURT - 2

ITEM No.305
C.P.(IB)/185(AHM)2021

Order under Section 95 IBC

IN THE MATTER OF:

Central Bank of India & Anr

V/s

Anandkumar Hasmukhbhai Patel

.....Applicant

.....Respondent

Order delivered on: 26/04/2024

Coram:

Mrs. Chitra Hankare, Hon'ble Member(J)

Dr. Velamur G Venkata Chalapathy, Hon'ble Member(T)

ORDER

The case is fixed for pronouncement of the order. The order is pronounced in the open court, vide separate sheet.

-Sd-

DR. V. G. VENKATA CHALAPATHY
MEMBER (TECHNICAL)

-Sd-

CHITRA HANKARE
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

**BEFORE THE ADJUDICATING AUTHORITY
THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
AHMEDABAD (COURT - II)**

CP(IB) No. 185 / NCLT / AHM / 2021

(Filed under Section 95(1) of the Insolvency & Bankruptcy Code, 2016)

Central Bank of India
Mid Corporate Finance Branch
Lal Darwaja, Ahmedabad-380001

... Financial Creditor 1

State Bank of India
2nd Floor, Paramsiddhi Complex,
Opp. V.S Hospital,
Ahmedabad-380006

... Financial Creditor 2

Versus

Mr. Anandkumar Hasmukhbhai Patel
A/46, Krishna Bungalows,
Opp. IOB Bank, Motera,
Sabarmati, Ahmedabad.

... Personal Guarantor

Order delivered on 26.04.2024

CORAM:

**MRS. CHITRA HANKARE
HON'BLE MEMBER (JUDICIAL)**

**DR. V. G. VENKATA CHALAPATHY
HON'BLE MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

Present:

For the Applicant : Mr. Sandeep C. Bhatt, Adv.

For the Respondent : None

JUDGEMENT

1. The Present Application is filed under Section 95 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as IBC, 2016) read with Rule 7(2) of the Insolvency & Bankruptcy (Application to Adjudicating Authority for Insolvency Resolution Process for Personal Guarantors to Corporate Debtor) Rules, 2019 by the Central Bank of India and State bank of India (hereinafter referred to as "Financial Creditors") for the purpose of initiating insolvency resolution process against Mr. Anandkumar Hasmukhbhai Patel (hereinafter referred to as Personal Guarantor) for default amount of Rs.155,71,43,829.89/- The Respondent personal guarantor stood as guarantor in respect of repayment of interest, cost, charge and other expenses in respect of Deed of Guarantee dated 10.11.2012.
2. The amount in default is Rs. 155,71,43,829.89/- and date of default is 25.09.2018. The applicant filed an original application bearing no. 62 of 2017 before Debt Recovery Tribunal, Ahmedabad for recovery of dues. Thereafter, Company Petition bearing No. CP(IB) 550/NCLT/AHM/2018 filed by the Applicant under Section 7 against Shreem Spa & Resorts Limited and same was admitted by this Tribunal, vide order dated 23.09.2019.
3. It is stated that the Deed of Guarantee has been executed on 10.11.2012. Inter-Se Agreement was also executed on 11.03.2013 by

and between the Central Bank of India and State Bank of India. Facility consortium agreement was executed on 11.03.2013.

4. Due to non-Payment of the outstanding dues by the corporate debtor, the Financial Creditor has filed this application for initiation of Insolvency Resolution Process against the guarantor under section 95 of IBC, 2016. Applicant-one issued demand to the respondent (Guarantor) on 21.12.2020 under Rule 7(2) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy (Application to Adjudicating Authority for Insolvency Resolution Process for Personal Guarantor to Corporate Debtors) Rule, 2019. The Demand notice delivered to the respondent on 24.12.2020.
5. On presentation of the application by the Applicant/Financial Creditors this Tribunal vide an order dated 27.10.2021 appointed Mr. Shalabh Kumar Daga bearing registration no. IBBI/IPA-001/IP-P-00071/2017-18/10157 as resolution professional to carry out Insolvency Resolution Process of the personal guarantor as per section 97(3) of IBC, 2016. Accordingly the RP has filed a report on 12.11.2021 with following observations:
 - I. It is stated that the Corporate Debtor has been engaged in Hotel business since 2009. At the request of the borrower, the lenders have sanctioned term loans and working capital facilities, both fund and non-fund based, from time to time. In the application, the comprehensive information about the lending facilities is clearly stated. The applicant in this case, Central Bank of India and State bank of India, has a total fund-based and non-fund-

based lending portfolio with interest of Rs.155.71 crores as of 22.07.2021 as per the petition filed by the applicant(s).

- II. After the Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Debtor took effect, the applicant served a demand notice on the corporate debtor's personal guarantors, which included the respondent in this case, Anandkumar Hasmukhbhai Patel. The demand notice was issued on 21.12.2020, but no payment was made as mentioned in the petition. Following that, on 22.07.2021 the applicant filed an application with the NCLT, Ahmedabad bench, under section 95 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016.
- III. The RP has demanded proof/evidence u/S. 99(2) of the IB Code from Anandkumar Hasmukhbhai Patel to prove repayment, if any of the debt claimed as unpaid by the Creditor vide email dated 05.11.2021 and 09.11.2021 but not received any reply from personal guarantor.
- IV. The Application filed by the Creditor satisfies the requirement as set out in Section 95 of the Code. The Corporate Debtor, Shreem Spa & Resorts Limited., has committed default in repayment of Loan Facility granted by the Creditor Central Bank of India and 'State bank of India. Anandkumar Hasmukhbhai Patel, Personal Guarantors to corporate debtor have also committed default in repayment of Loan Facility demanded by the Creditor Central Bank of India and State

Bank of India. Anandkumar Hasmukhbhai Patel, have not denied the existence of debt.

- V. In view of the above stated facts and circumstances, as well as analysis of the RP based on the examination of material available on record, the RP recommends that present Application under section 95 (1) of the Code filed by the Creditor Central Bank of India and State Bank of India be admitted under Section 100 of the Code and Insolvency Resolution Process be commenced against Anandkumar Hasmukhbhai Patel, Personal Guarantors to Shreem Spa & Resorts Limited.
6. Respondent/personal guarantor filed a reply on 25.02.2022 stating the following issues:
- I. Personal Guarantor stated that petition has not been filed in consonance with the Section 95(1) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 read with Rule 7(2) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy (Application to Adjudicating Authority for Insolvency Resolution Process for Personal Guarantors to Corporate Debtors) Rules, 2019. Applicant is not authorized to file the applicant jointly. The nature of debt involves two loan from two different bank. Demand Notice issued the Central Bank of India in respect of unpaid debt.
 - II. Personal Guarantor further states that the applicant not mentioned the excluded debt in the petition. Applicant bank sold many properties, one of the property auctioned in the year of 2021 but the bank has not stated how much amount was recovered.

- III. The demand notice is not issued as per rule under rule 7(1) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy (Application to Adjudicating Authority for Insolvency Resolution Process of Personal Guarantors to Corporate debtors) Rules, 2019. The demand notice is issued only by central bank of India while the amount of state Bank of India is also claimed and therefore the same is not maintainable. Further, Demand Notice is not properly served upon PG.
- IV. It is submitted that the guarantee herein contained shall not be enforceable against the Guarantor. The Guarantee hereby given is not independent and distinct from any security that the Central Bank of India has taken. It is submitted the as per provisions of sections 140 and 141 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 the Guarantor is to be discharged to any extent. There is negligence on the part of the bank and therefore the bank has no right to issue notice under IBC,2016
- V. It is further submitted that date of Debt Due stated by the applicant bank on 24.09.2018 (NPA) and debt when default occurred is shown as 25.09.2018. The applicant had earlier proceeded against the Corporate debtor and the guarantors including the partnership firm on which a decree was passed by DRT on 24.07.2018. A CIRP application filed against the corporate debtor was admitted on 23 Sept 2019 against the corporate debtor by this tribunal and CIRP process is in progress. After admission of the corporate debtor under CIRP,

on default by the corporate debtor the applicant served a notice on the applicant guarantor on 21 December 2020 by invoking the guarantee and on default/guarantor not paying the amount guaranteed has filed this application on 22 July 2021 and therefore the same is within the period of limitation. The date of Decree passed by HON'BLE DRT on 24.07.2018 is for specific assets under SARFESI Act and date of submission of this application after invoking the guarantee and bringing in Insolvency Application on guarantee is also within the period of limitation within a period of 3 years in terms of IBC under Sec 238 A of IBC 2016.

- VI. The respondent has stated a few technical errors in the applications like both the creditors filing a joint application and certain dates mentioned which are not sustained, and the application is allowed. It is further submitted that N.K.PUNJABI, signatory of the application is not authorized signatory of Central Bank of India and therefore the applicant has not produced any authority and therefore the application deserve to be dismissed.
7. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and respondent and Resolution Professional.
8. On the basis of above facts and submissions it appears that the present application is filed within the period of limitation. Date of Default as mentioned in the petition is 25.09.2018 and the present petition filed before this Tribunal on 22.07.2021. Therefore the

present application is within the period of limitation for submitting the application before this Tribunal.

9. The RP has recommended to initiate the Insolvency Resolution Process against the Personal Guarantor. The RP has submitted the copies of documents and also details of assets of respondent. It is observed from the record that the respondent had not brought on record any document denying or disputing the invocation of his Personal Guarantee. There is no any evidence given by the respondent to show that he has paid the debt or his Personal Guarantee agreement is cancelled. The action initiated is within the period of limitation.

10. Present application is filed jointly by the Central Bank of India and the State Bank of India. The respondent is one of the Guarantor (IV) had executed a deed of guarantee in favour of Central Bank of India (one of the applicants) on 10th November 2012 in favour of both the applicant banks for sanction of credit facilities to the Corporate Debtor as per terms of the sanction letter dated 21.09.2012 and the deed of hypothecation of same date which as per para 11 is “irrevocable and enforceable against the guarantor notwithstanding any dispute between the lender and the borrower”. The date of default is stated as 25.09.2018 (as per recovery certificate) and there was another demand notice issued on 21.12.2020 on which the Corporate Debtor had not repaid the loan as per documents produced. There is an inter se agreement made on 11 March 2013 signed between the applicant banks and the Corporate Debtor which identifies the lead bank (central Bank of

India) and also that any action for the enforcement of the said securities against the borrowers including that steps and actions to ensure compliance by the borrower with all the terms and conditions and stipulations in respect of said facilities, the repayment and payment obligations of the borrower or the guarantor/s to the said bank (para 8 of the agreement).

11. Accordingly, based on the report of Resolution Professional submitted under Section 99 that the applicant satisfies the provisions of Section 95 of IBC 2016 and after hearing of the submissions of the applicant and treating the respondent, pass the following order in terms of Section 100 of IBC,2016.

ORDER

- (i) Application is allowed.
- (ii) The insolvency process is initiated against respondent Personal Guarantor. The moratorium begun on the date of admission of the application shall cease to have effect at the end of the period of 180 days from the date of this admission of this application in terms of Section 101 of IBC,2016. During the moratorium period, the following provisions shall be in effect:
 - a. Any pending legal action or proceeding in respect of any debt shall be deemed to have been stayed; and
 - b. The creditors of the debtor shall not initiate any legal action proceedings in respect of any debt; and

- c. The Debtor shall not transfer, alienate, encumber, or dispose of any of his assets or his legal rights or beneficial interest therein;
 - d. The provisions of this Section shall not apply to such transactions as may be notified by the Central Government in consultation with any financial sector regulator.
- (iii) The Resolution Professional viz., Mr. Shalabh Kumar Daga, who has been appointed under Section 97 vide order dated 27.10.2021, is directed to cause a public notice to be published on behalf of the Adjudicating Authority within 7 days of uploading of this order on the website of NCLT, inviting claims from all Creditors who shall register their claims as provided under Section 103 within 21 days of such issuance. The notice shall contain the necessary information as provided under section 102(2) of IBC. The publication of the notice shall be made in newspapers, one in English and the other in Vernacular which have wide circulation in the state where the debtor resides. The Resolution Professional shall furnish two spare copies of the notice to the Registry. One shall be placed by the Registry on our website and the other shall be affixed in the premises of this Authority.
- (iv) The Resolution Professional in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 104 shall prepare a list of creditors within 30 days from the date of the notice. The debtor shall prepare a repayment plan in consultation with the Resolution Professional as provided under section 105 which shall include the

provisions for payment of fee to the Resolution Professional. The Resolution Professional shall submit the repayment plan along with his report on the plan to this Authority within a period of 21 days from the last date of submission of claims as provided under Section 106.

- (v) In case the Resolution Professional recommends that a meeting of the creditors is not required to be summoned, he shall record the reasons thereof. If the resolution professional is of the opinion that the meeting of the creditors should be summoned, he shall specify the details as provided under Section 106(3). The date of meeting should not be less than 14 days or more than 28 days from the date of submission of the report under sub-section (1) of Section 106, for which at least 14 days' notice to the creditors (as per the list prepared) shall be issued by all relevant/feasible modes. Such notice must contain the details as provided under the provisions of Section 107.
- (vi) The meeting of the creditors shall be conducted in accordance with section 108,109,110 & 111. The Resolution Professional shall prepare a report of the meeting of the creditors on repayment plan with all details as provided under Section 112 and submit the same to this Authority, copies of which shall be provided to the debtor and the creditors. It is made clear that the resolution professional shall perform his function and duties in compliance with the code of Conduct provided under section 208 of the IBC,2016.
- (vii) The applicant is directed to deposit Rs.2 lakhs to the bank account of RP within one week, towards his fees. This shall be

subjected to the Rules and Regulations under the provisions of IBC,2016

(viii) Accordingly, CP(IB) 185 of 2021 is disposed off.

-Sd-

DR.V. G. VENKATA CHALAPATHY
MEMBER (TECHNICAL)

-Sd-

CHITRA HANKARE
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)