

IN THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
CHANDIGARH (COURT – II)
(Through Hybrid Mode)

Item No. 106

CP (IB) No. 268/Chd/Hry/2022

IN THE MATTER OF:
Indian Bank ... **Petitioner**

Versus

Sonia ... **Respondent**

Under Section: 95(1), IBC 2016

Order delivered on 03.04.2024

CORAM:

**SHRI. L.N. GUPTA,
HON'BLE MEMBER (T)**

**SHRI. HARNAM SINGH THAKUR,
HON'BLE MEMBER (J)**

PRESENT:

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dharam Paul Garg, Advocate
and RP

For the Respondent- : None
Personal Guarantor

ORDER

Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the respondent-Personal Guarantor at the time of hearing of the matter, even after the report under Section 99 of the Code has been supplied to the respondent-Personal Guarantor. It seems that respondent-Personal Guarantor is not interested in defending the said petition, therefore, respondent-Personal Guarantor is proceeded against *ex parte*.

The matter be listed on 20.05.2024 for *ex parte* arguments.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(L.N. GUPTA)
HON'BLE MEMBER (T)**

**(HARNAM SINGH THAKUR)
HON'BLE MEMBER (J)**